



**RIGHT TO  
INFORMATION**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, HARYANA  
SCO NO. 114-115, SECTOR 8-C, CHANDIGARH  
APPEAL CASE NO. 4012 OF 2016  
RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT-UNDER SECTION 19 (3)**

**Relevant facts emerging from the appeal:**

Name of the appellant	Shri Ravinder Dhull, Advocate S/o Shri Parminder Singh, MLA Flat No. 17, Sector 3, Chandigarh (99154-42266).
Name of the Respondents	1. SPIO o/o Registrar Cooperative Societies, Bays No. 27-30, Sehkarita 2. FAA-cum-Joint Registrar (Admn.) Registrar Cooperative Societies, Bays No. 27-30, Sehkarita Bhawan, Sector-2, Panchkula.
RTI-application filed with SPIO on	07.08.2015
SPIO replied on	--
RTI-appeal filed with First Appellate Authority (henceforth called FAA) on	05.10.2015
First Appeal decided on	--
2 <sup>nd</sup> appeal filed with the Commission	21.01.2016
State Information Commissioner	<b>Shri Hemant Atri</b>

The appellant has submitted this second appeal on the ground that he had sought information on 05 points vide his RTI application dated 07.08.2015. Finding no response, the appellant filed first appeal dated 05.10.2015 before the First Appellate Authority (henceforth called FAA). The FAA did not decide the first appeal. Failing to receive requisite information, the appellant approached the Commission by filing second appeal dated 21.01.2016 with the prayer to provide requisite information to him and initiate penal action against the respondent SPIO. The Commission entertained the request of the appellant as second appeal under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 by serving notices to the parties. Comments dated 05.07.2016 have been received from the SPIO.



**Date of Hearing: 13.07.2016**

**Present:**

- 1. Shri Ravinder Singh Dhull, appellant.**
- 2. Shri Yogesh Sharma, SPIO-cum-Deputy Registrar o/o Registrar Cooperative Societies, Haryana, Panchkula.**
- 3. Shri Mahabir Singh, Inspector on behalf of Deemed SPIO-cum-Superintendent (General), HQ.**
- 4. Shri Rajesh Kumar, Deemed SPIO-cum-A.O. (H.Q.).**

The appellant present before the Commission informed that his RTI application dated 07.08.2015 is yet to be replied. He requested that complete information be given to him at the earliest.

The respondent SPIO present alongwith the Deemed SPIOs concerned informed that the RTI application in question was received by his predecessor on 11.08.2015 and the same was transferred to all the Deemed SPIOs on 16.09.2015. Some of the information was received by the respondent SPIO and sent to the appellant on 03.11.2015 and subsequently on 10.12.2015, but the appellant did not receive the same.

Upon perusal of the case, it was clear that point No. 1 of the application is only a statement, so no reply needs to be given. As far as point No. 5 is concerned, it is an open ended question, hence dismissed.

After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, the Commission has passed the following orders:-

- (i) The respondent SPIO will instantly hand over the reply to the appellant by hand immediately after the hearing in an attested format free of cost.
- (ii) If the appellant is still not satisfied, he may be allowed an opportunity to inspect the concerned file on point No. 2, as discussed, immediately after the hearing.



(iii) As the respondent SPIO was posted in his present capacity on 14.12.2015 and has tried to reply to the application to the best of his efforts, there is no case made out against him.

**The present appeal case is hereby disposed off.**

Announced. To be communicated.

**Place : Chandigarh  
Dated: 13.07.2016**

**Sd/-  
(Hemant Atri)  
State Information Commissioner,  
Haryana.**

\* Orders can also be seen at [www.cicharyana.gov.in](http://www.cicharyana.gov.in)