

SIXTH REPORT

ON

**IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RTI ACT, 2005
(1.1.2011 TO 31.12.2011)**

**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION,
HARYANA**

**SCO 70-71 & 114-115,
SECTOR 8 C, MADHYA MARG
CHANDIGARH-160009.**

**Website: WWW.cicharyana.gov.in
E-mail: ussichry@yahoo.co.in**

CONTENTS

Chapter No.	Subject	Page no.
I.	Chapter-I: Introduction	3-5
II.	Chapter-II: Constitution of the State Information Commission Haryana	6-11
III.	Chapter-III : Salary and allowances	12
IV.	Chapter-IV: Administration of the Right to Information Act	13-18
V.	Chapter-V : Monitoring and Reporting	19-21
VI.	Chapter-VI : Status of Complaints and appeals	22-27
VII.	Chapter-VII: - Creation of awareness about the Act.	28-29
VIII	Chapter-VIII: -Recommendations for reformation	30-34
Annexure-A	Haryana Right to Information Rules.2009	35-41
Annexure-B	Information received from Public Authorities	42-55
Annexure-C	Major Public Authorities from whom information has not been received	56-64
Annexure-D	Details of penalty imposed upon the State Public Information Officers	65-70
Annexure-E	List of cases in which Disciplinary Proceedings were recommended	71-72
Annexure-F	Details of Compensation Awarded to the Appellants/ Complainants	73-88

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The Right to Information Act, 2005 was enacted on 15th June, 2005 with its preamble reading as under:

“An Act to provide for setting out the practical regime of right to information for citizens to secure access to information under the control of public authorities, in order to promote transparency and accountability in working of every public authority, the constitution of a Central Information Commission and State Information Commissions and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto”.

- 1.2 The Right to Information Act, 2005 came into force on 12th October, 2005 (120th day of its enactment) but some of its provisions came into force with effect from the date of enactment i.e. 15th June, 2005 itself. These provisions included obligations of public authorities, constitution of Information Commissions, designation of Public Information Officers/Assistant Public Information Officers and the power to make rules by various competent authorities. The Act has a comprehensive reach and covers a wide spectrum of bodies. All the Departments, Undertakings of Government, Urban Local Bodies, Panchayati Raj Institutions and other bodies established, constituted, owned,

controlled or substantially financed by Government including non-governmental organizations are covered under the Act. Access to information to all Indian citizens is the general rule under this Act with few exemptions which are also subject to safeguards provided in the Act itself.

1.3 The Law as mandated under Section 25 (1) requires that the State Information Commission to prepare an Annual Report on implementation of the provisions of the Act during the year, as soon as practicable, after the end of each year and forward a copy thereof to the appropriate Government to be laid the State Legislature. **The State Information Commission, Haryana presents Sixth Annual Report for the calendar year 2011.**

1.4 The State Information Commission, Haryana was notified on 31st October, 2005 and came into existence on 1st November, 2005, on the Haryana Day, commemorating the carving out of the State of Haryana in 1966. The Commission completed its sixth year in October, 2011. The Annual Report focuses on the status of implementation of the Act by public authorities in accordance with responsibility cast upon them under Section 25 (2) of the Act. Each department is under obligation to collect and provide information in relation to public authorities working within its jurisdiction to the State Information Commission on the number of requests made to each public authority and the number

of appeals referred to the State Information Commission for review, the nature of the appeals and the outcome of the appeals. Each department shall also intimate the number of decisions where the applicants were not entitled to access the documents and the provisions of this Act which were invoked while making these decisions. Any disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of the administration of this Act and the amount of charges collected by each public authority under this Act shall also be intimated. Special efforts by the public authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this Act shall be brought to the notice of the Commission. The public authorities shall make recommendations for reform, including recommendations for the development, improvement and modernization for effective implementation to the citizen's right to information. A particular public authority may make specific recommendations for reforms or amendment to this Act for improvement in access to information in relation to and keeping in view its mandated task and functioning.

- 1.5 The State Information Commission, Haryana accordingly solicits report from all the Public Authorities in a prescribed format.

CHAPTER II

Constitution of the State Information Commission, Haryana

2.1 Section 15 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 provides for constitution of State Information Commission in every State of the Country. Accordingly the State of Haryana on 31st October, 2005 by notification in the official gazette constituted a body known as the State Information Commission, Haryana. The Commission started functioning from 01.11.2005. Shri G. Madhavan, IAS (Retired) was the first State Chief Information Commissioner. He demitted the office on 28.10.2010 after completing the tenure. Smt. Meenaxi Anand Chaudhry, IAS (Retired), SIC took over the charge of the State Chief Information Commissioner on 29th October, 2010 and demitted the office on 28th April, 2011. These dignitaries owing to their vast administrative experience established the Commission and its systems on a firm footing. Shri Naresh Gulati, IAS (Retired) assumed the charge of State Chief Information Commissioner with effect from 20.05.2011 (AN). During the calendar year 2011, the Commission comprised the following:

Name	Inducted as	Date of assuming the charge
Mrs. Meenaxi Anand Chaudhry, IAS (Retd.)	Chief Information Commissioner	29.10.2010

Shri Naresh Gulati, IAS (Retd.)	Chief Information Commissioner	20.05.2011 (AN)
Mrs. Asha Sharma, IAS (Retd.)	State Information Commissioner	3.01.2008
Sh. M.R. Ranga	State Information Commissioner	3.01.2008
Sh. Prem Veer Singh	State Information Commissioner	3.01.2008

The Act provides for State Chief Information Commissioner and ten State Information Commissioners. During the year 2011, three State Information Commissioners were in position and rest of the positions remained unfilled.

Headquarter of the Commission and its functioning

2.2 The Commission's Headquarter is at Chandigarh. The State Government allotted SCO No. 70-71 (First Floor) and SCO 114-115 (Ground and First Floor), Sector-8-C, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh to set up the office of the Commission.

2.3 Keeping in view the convenience of the complainants, appellants, designated State Public Information Officers and the First Appellate Authorities, the Commission started holding hearings at the Divisional Headquarters. This has led to speedy disposal of matters besides saving time and cost of all the stakeholders. The decision of the Commission was welcomed by the complainants and the appellants of the far-away places like Mahendergarh, Rewari, Mewat, Gurgaon,

Palwal, Faridabad, Hisar, Sirsa, Fatehabad and Jind Districts. The respondents equally welcomed this initiative.

Allocation of budget to the State Information Commission

2.3 The State Government allocates funds to the State Information Commission, Haryana to meet its requirements. The State Government since its inception to the year 2011-2012 has made the following allocations under the head of account "2070-Other Administrative Services-Non Plan:-

Year	Funds provided (Rs. in Lacs)	
	Original	Final
2005-2006,	30.00	26.79
2006-2007	140.04	126.00
2007-2008	167.94	135.05
2008-2009	244.27	212.02
2009-2010	265.62	233.04
2010-2011	269.34	223.74
2011-2012	257.97	--

2.4 The breakup of the funds for the year 2010-2011 and 2011-12 under the various sub heads was as under:-

Sr. No.	Object	(Rs. in Lacs)	
		2010-2011 (Final)	2011-2012 (Original)
1.	Salary	108.02	96.30
2.	Dearness Allowance	41.02	40.52

3.	Travel Expenses	1.77	4.50
4.	Office Expenses	28.92	40.00
5.	Motor Vehicle	1.46	16.00
6.	POL	5.11	9.90
7.	Medical Charges	0.80	6.20
8.	Contractual services	26.94	20.00
9.	Professional & Special Services	00.00	10.00
10.	LTC	5.00	7.45
11.	Information Technology	4.70	7.10
	Total	223.74	257.97

2.5 The State Government sanctioned the following posts for the smooth functioning of the Commission. The detail of these posts alongwith sanctioned Pay Band and Grade Pay is as follows:-

Sr. No.	Number and nomenclature of the post	Sanctioned pay scale
1.	One Chief Information Commissioner	Rs. 90000/- fixed
2.	Three State Information Commissioners	Rs. 80000/- fixed
3.	One Secretary (From the Joint Secretary level)	Pay Band of Rs. 37400-67000+ Grade Pay Rs. 8000.
4.	One Senior Secretary to CIC	Pay Band of Rs.15600-39100+ Grade Pay Rs. 7600.
5.	One Under Secretary	Pay Band of Rs.15600-39100+ Grade Pay of Rs. 6000.
6.	Three Private Secretaries to SIC	Pay Band of Rs.9300-34800+ Grade Pay Rs. 5400.
7.	Legal Consultant	Remuneration as fixed by the Commission.
8.	One Research Officer-cum-Consultant	Pay Band of Rs.9300-34800+ Grade Pay Rs. 4600 GP

9.	One Superintendent	Pay Band Rs.9300-34800 + Grand Pay Rs. 4200 and Rs. 200 SP.
10.	One Programmer	Pay Band Rs.9300-34800 + Grand Pay Rs. 4200 but permitted to appoint on contractual basis through HARTRON.
11.	Five Personal Assistants	Pay Band Rs.9300-34800 + Grand Pay Rs. 4200.
12.	Two Assistants	Pay Band of Rs.9300-34800+Grade Pay Rs. 3600.
13.	One Accounts Assistant	Pay Band of Rs.9300-34800+Grade Pay Rs. 3600.
14.	Four Readers	Pay Band of Rs.9300-34800+Grade Pay Rs. 3600.
15.	One Junior Scale Stenographer	Pay Band of Rs.5200-20200+ Grade Pay Rs. 2400.
16.	Four Steno Typists	Grade Pay of Rs.5200-20200+ Grade Pay Rs. 1900 and Rs. 100 SP.
17.	Seven Clerks-cum-Computer Operators	Grade Pay of Rs.5200-20200+ Grade Pay Rs. 1900 and Rs. 40 SP.
18.	Six Drivers	Pay Band of Rs.5200-20200+ Grade Pay Rs. 2400 and Rs. 300 SP.
19.	Fifteen Peons	Grade Pay of Rs.4440-7440+ Grade Pay 1300 and Rs. 30 SP.

2.6 As on 31st December 2011, all the posts except the post of Secretary and Legal Consultant, remained filled up in the Commission. As a matter of policy, the staff was taken on transfer basis from the Haryana Civil Secretariat, Secretariat of Revenue and Disaster Management and

other Departments of the State Government. When officials for appointment by way of transfer were not made available, appointments were made on contract basis or through identified Outsourced Agency.

CHAPTER III

Salary and allowances

- 3.1 The State Government allowed salary and allowances payable and other terms and conditions of service to the State Chief Information Commissioner and the State Information Commissioners as provided under Sub Section 5 of Section 16 of the RTI Act of: -
- (i) The State Chief Information Commissioner shall be the same as that of an Election Commissioner;
 - (ii) The State Information Commissioner shall be the same as that of the Chief Secretary to the State Government;
- 3.2 The salary and allowances payable to other officers and employees of the State Information Commission, Haryana shall be at par with other State Government employees. Personnel appointed on contract basis or out sourced are entitled for payments at the contract rates which shall not be less than the minimum wages.

CHAPTER IV

Administration of the Right to Information Act

4.1 Implementation of Section 4 by the SIC as public authority:

The Section 4 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 enjoins all public authorities to maintain all records duly catalogued and indexed to facilitate the right to information, computerized all records within a reasonable time and subject to availability of resources and network to facilitate accessibility, proactively disclose all information stipulated in Section 4 (1) (b) within 120 days of the enforcement of the Act and update periodically and disseminate through various medium like electronic and print media, notice boards, public notices, websites etc for the use of citizens keeping in view cost effectiveness, local language and efficacy of means of communication. The underlying philosophy is to put as much disclosable information in public domain as possible to ensure that the citizen has minimum recourse to file application under the Act to access information.

4.2 Arrangement of SIC for implementation of Section 4 (1) (a):

In order to comply with the requirement of the Act, the Commission has undertaken a project of Computerization of record under the IT Enablement Scheme for which the Government of India, Department of Personnel and Training, New Delhi provided funds under Central

Plan Scheme titled Strengthening, Capacity Building and Awareness Generation for effective implementation of the RTI Act. The Commission catalogued and indexed the record by digitizing the appeals/complaints files upto the year, 2010.

4.3 Arrangement for Section 4 (1) (b):

The State Information Commission, Haryana has suo-moto placed the information as mandated under Section 4 (1) (b) of the Act on its website www.cicharyana.gov.in. The Commission has also defined the responsibility of officials for mandatory review of various classes of information. The officials are required to conduct a six monthly review of information pertaining to their area of responsibility and send revised/modified inputs to the Programmer for hosting on the web site of the Commission.

4.4 Suo-moto discloser by the other public authorities:

The Commission observed that since the promulgation of the Act, substantial information relating to the functioning of the Government has suo-moto been disclosed and posted on the public authority's web site. The quality of proactive disclosure leaves much to be desired and needs improvement. Section 4 (2) and Section 4 (3) prescribe the method of dissemination of this information. The purpose of suo-moto disclosures under Section 4 is to place large amount of information in public domain on a proactive basis to make the functioning of the

public authorities more transparent and also to reduce the need for filing individual RTI applications. It has been felt that the weak implementation of Section 4 of the RTI Act is partly due to the fact that certain provisions of this Section have not been fully detailed. Further, there is need to set up a compliance mechanism to ensure that requirement under Section 4 of the Act are met. In order to ensure that a citizen have minimum resort to use the Act to obtain information, maximum information related to citizen charter, discretionary and non-discretionary grant, foreign tours of Officers/Ministers, transfer policy and transfer orders of the different cadres of employees serving in public authority, Procurement policy of the public authorities, Departmental, Accountant General, CAG and PAC audit paras and the action taken reports etc., keeping in mind the provision of Sections 8 to 11 of the Act, should be proactively disclosed. The result of this suo-moto disclosure would make the functioning of the public authorities more efficient and transparent which would further reduce the need for filing RTI applications by the individuals.

4.5 Provisions of adequate staff and budget for furnishing the information.

The public authorities need to be provided adequate staff and budget for discharging responsibilities under the Right to Information Act for furnishing the information. The Commission has been persistently

recommending to the State Government for strengthening the basic infrastructure like staff, photocopiers, computers etc. at the district and block level of administrative machinery. In the absence of infrastructure, hand written illegible information is sometimes furnished to the information seekers. These difficulties had been constantly voiced in the interaction which the Commission had with the members of the public, State Public Information Officers and other stake holders apart from being raised in the public hearings before the Commission. Therefore, the State Government may earmark a certain percentage of budget for implementation of the RTI Act for furnishing of information. Adequate provisions be made for purchase of stationery and office equipments for the designated officers under the Act.

4.6 Cost and Fee rules under the RTI Act.

According to Section 27 (1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005, the appropriate Government is required to make rules to carry out the provision of the Act. The State Government notified Haryana Right to Information Rules, 2005 on 28th October, 2005. These rules were amended and the amended Haryana Right to Information Rules were notified on 21st December 2009. The Rules became effective w.e.f. 01.01.2010. Copy of the rules, 2009 is appended at Annexure 'B'. The prescribed fee for each page of information was reduced from Rs. 10/-

to Rs.2/- per page in 2009 and was very well received by the appellants. The word "seven" days to seek additional fee from the receipt of application in sub rule 4 (4) of the Haryana Right to Information Rules, 2005 was also substituted to "expeditiously" to ensure the delivery of information within time specified under subsection (1) of Section 7 of the Act.

4.7 Competent authorities:

Under Section 2 (e) of the RTI Act, 2005, the following authorities are defined as competent authorities:

- (i) Speaker of the Legislative Assembly of a State and the Chairman in the case of Legislative Council of a State;
- (ii) The Governor of the State; and
- (iii) The Chief Justice in the High Court in the case of High Court and the Subordinate Court.

These competent authorities, in exercise of powers conferred under Section 28 (1) of the Act can make their own rules to carry out the provisions of the Act. The public authorities of State Legislative Assembly and the HE Governor, Haryana have decided to adopt the Haryana Right to Information Rules, 2009 as notified by the Government of Haryana. However, the public authority of Punjab and Haryana High Court has notified its own rules namely High Court of Punjab & Haryana (Right to Information) Rules, 2007 and Haryana Subordinate Courts (Right to Information) Rules, 2007 as amended on

31.03.2014. The public authority of High Court has uploaded rules on its website www.highcourtchd.gov.in .

4.8 Exemptions issued by the State of Haryana.

The State of Haryana in exercise of the power vested in Section 24 (4) of the RTI Act, 2005 has exempted the following six Intelligence and Security Organizations of the State through notification no. 5/4/2005-1AR, dated 29th Dec., 2005:


- State Criminal Investigation Department (CID); including the Crime Branch;
- Haryana Armed Police;
- Security Organizations of Police;
- Haryana Police Telecommunication Organization;
- India Reserve Battalion;
- Commando.

CHAPTER V

Monitoring and Reporting

5.1 The Right to Information Act, 2005 envisages promotion of transparency in working of public authorities and makes them accountable to the common man. Sections 6 and 7 of the Act prescribed the procedure and time frame for furnishing the information held by the public authorities to the information seekers through the State Public Information Officers. Section 25 (2) of the Act mandates each Department or Public Undertaking, in relation to the public authorities within their jurisdiction, to maintain RTI Act related statistics and furnish them to the State Information Commission for preparation of the Annual Report by the Commission. The Commission noted inordinate delay in furnishing statistics by the public authorities causing delay in the preparation of draft Annual Report and its laying before the State Legislature. The Commission received statistics from 88 public authorities. Rest of the public authorities did not respond. Even the departments which responded did not furnish statistics and prepare the report under Section 25 (2) within time. Public authorities who responded but with delay, are listed at annexure 'A'. The collected statistics is annexed at Annexure 'B'. The list of departments which did not comply with the requirement of Section 25 regarding monitoring and reporting is placed at annexure 'C'.

5.2 As per available information during the period from 1.1.2011 to 31.12.2011, a total of 54057 applications were received by the reporting public authorities. The access to information was withheld on account of exemption provisions in 1277 and 46 cases under Section 8 and 9 respectively of the RTI Act, 2005. Thus access to information was denied in about 2.45 % of total cases. A total sum of ₹ 1797811/- was deposited as prescribed application fee and ₹ 515300/- as additional fee by the applicants during the period under report. The classification of disposal and their percentage are given hereunder:



5.3 Suggestions received from the Public Authorities towards improved implementation of the Act.

Certain suggestions have been received from public authorities as part of reports under Section 25(2) of the Act for bringing improvement in the implementation of the RTI Act, 2005. These recommendations are as under: -

- (i) Fraudulent and voluminous information is being sought by RTI applicants which not only diverts the attention of the staff but also resources in collecting such information which does not serve any public purpose.
- (ii) Senior level officers should be designated as the State Public Information Officers and the First Appellate Authorities by the Public Authorities.
- (iii) Adequate arrangements for imparting training and refresher courses are required to be made on regular intervals.
- (iv) Some restrictions should be imposed upon the BPL applicants in seeking information under the Act.


CHAPTER VI

Status of Complaints and Appeals

6.1 The State Information Commission exercises original jurisdiction to receive and enquire into the complaints under Section 18 (2) and appellate jurisdiction under Section 19 (3) to receive second appeals against the order of FAA. Between 1.1.2011 to 31.12.2011, 524 complaints were instituted and 82 cases were carried forward from 31.12.2010. Orders were passed in 413 cases and 193 cases were pending as on 31.12.2011.

The details of complaints under Section 18(2) are as below:


Pending cases as on 31.12.2010	Complaints instituted during the period under report		Decided during the period	Pending as on 31.12.2011
	1.1.2011 to 31.12.2011	Total		
82	524	606	413	193



Between 1.1.2011 to 31.12.2011, 3916 appeals were instituted and 822 cases were carried forward from 31.12.2010. Orders were passed in 2859 cases and 1879 cases were pending as on 31.12.2011.

(II) Details of appeals under Section 19(3) are as below:

Pending cases as on 31.12.2010	Appeals instituted during the period under report		Decided during the period	Pending as on 31.12.2011
	1.1.2011 to 31.12.2011	Total		
822	3916	4738	2859	1879



6.2 During the period under report, the Commission disposed of 1902 miscellaneous applications by tendering appropriate advice to the applicants for taking necessary action to complete the formalities as mandated under the RTI Act, 2005 and Haryana Right to Information Rules, 2009. After the completion of formalities, these requests are

entertained as complaining under Section 18(2) or appeal & under Section 19(3) of the Act.

6.3 The State Information Commission decides the complaints and appeals after summoning and hearing both the parties. Written comments are sought from the respondent-SPIO and FAAs and the appellant is at liberty to file rejoinder. Personal hearing is afforded to the third parties as well, depending on the facts of the case. Decisions are announced after examining the written and oral submissions made by the parties and perusing the relevant record during the hearing.

6.4 **Status of Penalty during the year 2011.**

The State Information Commission has the power to impose any of the penalties provided under this Act or reject the application. Where at the time of deciding any complaint or appeal, the Commission is of the opinion that prima facie, has refused to receive an application for information or has not furnished information within the stipulated time, it may initiate penal proceedings under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act by serving show cause notice to the respondent-SPIO. As per provisions of the Act, reasonable opportunity of being heard before any penalty is imposed on the respondent-SPIO is afforded. The burden of proving that the respondent-SPIO has acted reasonably and diligently is on the designated officer. In case, the Commission reaches the conclusion that the respondent-SPIO has not acted reasonably and

diligently or has malafidely denied the request for information or knowingly given incorrect, incomplete or misleading information or destroyed information or obstructed in any manner in furnishing the information, the Commission imposes penalty. During the year 2011, show cause notices were issued to 957 State Public Information Officers or the deemed State Public Information Officers. After hearings, penalty of ₹ 4,46,750/- was imposed on 49 State Public Information Officers/Deemed State Public Information Officers. Details of cases for the period under report are appended at Annexure 'D'.

6.5 Recommendations of Disciplinary Action under Section 20 (2):

The Commission in exercise of powers conferred in Section 20 (2) of the Act, is empowered to recommend initiation of disciplinary action against delinquent officers/officials who fail to provide timely and complete information to the State Public Information Officer without any reasonable cause, depending on the facts of the case. During the year under report, disciplinary action under the service rules was recommended for nine delinquent officers/officials. The details are annexed at Annexure 'E'.

6.6 Award of Compensation under Section 19 (8) (b):

The State Information Commission has the power to require the public authority to compensate the complainant/appellant for any loss or other detriment suffered. The Commission awarded ₹ 5,25,984/- as compensation to 257 applicants. A list of cases of compensation is annexed at Annexure 'F'.

6.7 Court Cases

The aggrieved parties have a right to move Civil Writ Petitions in the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court at Chandigarh against the decision of the Commission. As the Commission decides matters in its statutory capacity under the provisions of the Right to Information Act, 2005 hence it was decided not to defend writs against its statutory decisions.

6.8 Accepting interns in the State Information Commission:

Some prestigious Universities and young Law students have approached the Commission to accept students as interns and observe the proceedings of the Commission while deciding the cases. The Commission accepted the requests. During 2011, the Law Department of Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra recommended ten candidates and the WB National University of Judicial Sciences, Kolkata recommended one student for internship.

6.9 Website and email address of the Commission:

The website of the Commission www.cicharyana.gov.in is operational. The cause list and orders passed by the Commission on complaints and appeals cases are being posted on the website. The email address of the Commission is ussichry@yahoo.co.in.

6.10 Central Assistance for IT enablement

The Government of India, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions, Department of personnel & Training, New Delhi sanctioned ₹ 30.00 lacs for IT enablement under its initiative on "Strengthening, Capacity Building and Awareness Generation for Effective Implementation of the Right to Information Act under the Centrally Sponsored Plan Scheme. The scheme aims at strengthening the infrastructure of the Commission in terms of computers, up-gradation of the existing website, file tracking system, application status through SMS, digitization of record, video conferencing facility. The Government of India released ₹ 21.00 lakh, equal to 70 per cent of the total sanctioned amount of ₹ 30.00 lakh. The work of providing video conferencing facility in the Commission could not be completed for want of release of the remaining 30 percent amount.

CHAPTER-VII

Creation of awareness about the Act.

7.1 Section 26 of the Right to Information Act directs the State Government to develop and organize programmes to create awareness among the public, in particular of disadvantage communities, as to how to exercise the rights contemplated under this Act. The Sub Section 1 (d) of Section 26 of the Act casts an obligation on the Government to train State Public Information Officers of public authorities and produce relevant training material for use by the public authorities. The Commission in its earlier reports has suggested launching of a programme for training and awareness of the public for ensuring effective implementation of the Act. The response of the Government is as under: -

(i) Training Programme for ASPIOs/SPIOs/FAAs.

The State Government has designated the Haryana institute of public administration (HIPA), Gurgaon as nodal agency in this regard. Training for the designated officers which includes ASPIOs/SPIOs and FAAs is being imparted on regular intervals by HIPA. As per the data received from the HIPA, the agency during the year 2011, has regularly held training courses for employees of various public authorities in order to sensitize,

train and enable them to appreciate the letter and spirit of the Act. The training data as received from the State Training Institute is as under:

Year	No. of Batches	Total no. of employees imparted training	Expenditure involved
2011	21	864	₹ 13.54 lakh

(ii) Publication of directory and a user guide.

The Commission in its first and second report recommended that a guide in the official language should be compiled to assist the citizens in exercise of their right to access information under the Act. It was also recommended to prepare a directory of the designated SAPIOs/SPIOs and the FAAs. HIPA, Gurgaon, the State Training Institute published a directory in 2008 of the designated officer's alongwith a user guide on RTI Act and a ready reckoner on the Act in English and Hindi for the use of citizens of the State. The Commission recommends that the directory needs to be updated in view of changes in designated SAPIOs/SPIOs/ FAAs of the public authorities.

CHAPTER VIII

Recommendations for the reformation

The Right to Information Act casts responsibility on the the State Information Commission as well as public authorities to suggest measures for effective implementation of the Act. The Commission makes the following recommendations to the State Government and the public authorities:-

- (i) The Commission observes that compliance with the provisions of Section 4 of the Act needs major improvement in terms of content as well as updating of information. It is observed that despite repeated directions of the State Government, a large number of the public authorities have not uploaded the information on the website as mandated under Section 4 (1) (b) of the Act. Updating of the website is an area where the head of the public authority needs to be sensitized and made responsible for getting it carried out. Proactive disclosure of information would lead to enhanced transparency and accountability. It would also minimize the work load of public authorities in responding to RTI queries. The Government is requested to issue directions to the public authorities in this regard and set up in house monitoring system.

- (ii) The Commission recommends strengthening of training infrastructure and making it obligatory for public authorities to train their SPIOs/FAAs/custodians of record periodically. Training is a continuous process. A trained designated official will be an asset to the public authority. It will reduce the number of appeals and complaints. Citizens stand to gain when their RTI applications are processed efficiently and correctly.
- (iii) The Commission reiterates its recommendation made in the last Annual Report that specific column be included in the annual confidential report format of officers for recording remarks on their attitude towards implementation of the Act. The self assessment report of the SPIO and the First Appellate Authority must include information regarding number of applications/appeals received and disposed of.
- (iv) State Public Information Officers need to be trained for increased sensitization to the needs of citizens. A massive round of training of SPIOs must therefore, be undertaken to enable them to discharge the responsibilities cast on them under the Act.
- (v) The Commission recommends that the State Government sets up a mechanism, in exercise of powers conferred in Section

27 of the Act, to monitor the recovery of penalties. It has been noted that the public authorities e.g. Urban Local Body, Development & Panchayat, HUDA, Education etc. do not bother to deposit the penal amount into the Government Treasury after the Commission's orders have been finalized.

- (vi) The Commission recommends to the State Government that the public authorities need to be provided adequate staff and budget for discharging responsibilities under the Right to Information for furnishing the information. The basic infrastructure like staff, photocopiers, computers etc. needs to be strengthened at the district and block level of administrative machinery. RTI Act is a legislation which has strict time limits for execution and there is personal liability on the State Public Information Officer concerned for delays. As the Act gains more popularity, the numbers of RTI applications are expected to increase significantly.

The transparency Act has made significant impact on the relationship between the governed and the Government at all levels. It has empowered citizenry and made administration more responsive. The Right to Information Act, 2005 invokes in citizens a strong sense of ownership which is unique.

Record Management

One major constraint faced by Public Information Officers in providing information in a timely manner is the poor state of record management in most public authorities.

Section 4(1) (a) of the RTI Act, 2005 casts an obligation on every public authority to properly manage and speedily computerize its records. However, the progress in this direction is tardy. There is a need to undertake steps to classify record as per State Government's retention of record schedule for better record management. The shelves in the branches of public authorities are overflowing with records including record ought to be weeded out as per retention of record schedule which makes it difficult to retrieve information sought by the information seeker. This makes the task of digitization more daunting than it is.

State nodal Agencies ought to direct public authorities to designate one or more Public Information Officers responsible for ensuring compliance with the provisions of Section 4. Public authorities especially those with extensive public dealing and receiving a large number of RTI applications, should periodically access the types of information that are being most often sought and ensure that it is proactively made available. Proactive disclosure of information would lead to enhanced transparency and accountability and would minimize the work load of public authorities in responding to RTI queries.

A balanced review of the nature of information sought by the RTI applicants could be useful to initiate systemic changes within the public authority.

Annexure 'A'

HARYANA GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS DEPARTMENT Notification

The 21st December, 2009

No.S.O.99/C.A.22/2005/S.27/2009.—In exercise of the powers conferred sub-section (1) read with sub-section (2) of section 27 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (Act 22 of 2005), the Governor of Haryana hereby makes the following rules providing for information under the said Act, namely :—

Short title and commencement.

1. (1) These rules may be called the **Haryana Right to Information Rules , 2009**.

(2) They shall come into force from 1st January, 2010.

2. (1) In these rules, unless the context otherwise requires,

a) "Act" means the Right to Information Act, 2005 (Act 22 of 2005);

b) "Commission" means the Haryana Information Commission , constituted under section 15 of the Act;

c) "Model Form" means a model form appended to these rules;

d) "section" means the section of the Act.

(2) The words and expressions used in these rules, but not defined, shall have the same meanings as respectively assigned to them in the Act.

3. (1) a person who desires to obtain any information admissible under the Act, shall make an application, preferably in Model Form 'A' to the State Public Information Officer and in his absence to State Assistant Public Information Officer along-with fee as specified in sub-rule (1) of rule 5 of these rules.

(2) On the receipt of an application, made under sub-rule (1), the State Public Information Officer or State Assistant Public Information Officer as the case may be, shall give a receipt in token, thereof to the applicant.

Application for
Obtaining
Information
Sections 2(m), 6
and 27

Deposit of fee
Section 6

4. (1) The fee shall be deposited with the State Public Information Officer either in Cash against proper receipt, by Bank Draft, by Indian Postal Order or by treasury Challan in the following Heads of Account :—

Major Head	0070—Other Administrative Services
Sub Major Head	60—Other Services
Minor Head	800-Other Receipts
Sub Head	86—Fee under the Right to Information Act, 2005.
Detailed head	“0070-Other Administrative Services-60-Other Services-800-Other Receipts-86 Fee under the Right to Information Act, 2005”.

(2) The amount of fee shall be credited to the account as referred in sub-rule (1):

Provided that the Boards, Corporations and other autonomous bodies of the State may get the amount of requisite fee deposited in their own accounts maintained by the.

(3) On receipt of an application, submitted under sub-rule (1) of rule 3, the State Public Information Officer shall scrutinize the application and shall assess how much fee is required to be paid by the applicant for obtaining the information.

(4) The fee assessed under sub-rule (3), shall be intimated to the applicant by the State Public Information Officer, expeditiously, in Model Form 'B' to ensure the delivery of information within time specified under sub-section (1) of section 7 of the Act.

(5) In case the applicant fails to deposit the requisite fee within a fifteen days after the issuance of the intimation given to him under sub- rule (4), it shall be construed that the applicant is no longer interested in obtaining the information sought for and his application shall be deemed to have been filed.

Quantum of fee.
Section 6 and 7

5. (1) An application for obtaining any information under sub section (1) of the section 6 shall be accompanied with a fee of Rs. 50/-.

(2) For providing information under sub-section (1) of section 7, the fee shall be charged from the applicant at the following rates, namely: —

- (a) Rs. 2/- for each page in A-4 or A-3 size paper, created or copied; and
- (b) if information is to be provided on a large size of paper than that specified in clause (a), the actual cost shall be charged.

(3) For providing information under sub-section (5) of section 7, the fee shall be charged from the applicant at the following rates, namely: -

- (a) Rs. 50/- for providing information in a floppy;
- (b) Rs. 100/- for providing information in diskette; and
- (c) if information sought is of such a nature, which is contained in a printed document, of which a price has been fixed, then that information shall be provided after charging the price, fixed for that printed document. However, if only an extract or page of such a printed document is asked for, then a fee of Rs. 2/- per page shall be charged.

(4) No fee for inspection of record shall be charged, if such an inspection is made for one hour only. However, if inspection is made for a period of more than one hour, then a fee of rupees ten shall be charged for every fifteen minutes in excess of first hour. Every fraction of the period above fifteen minutes shall be construed as complete period of fifteen minutes and it shall be charged as full period of fifteen minutes.

Procedure for
filing appeals.
Sections 19(1)
and (3)

6. (1) The memorandum of appeal shall contain the following information, namely :—

- (a) name and address of the appellant, including the details of contact telephone/mobile Numbers/e-mail address, if any;
- b) official designation and address of State Public Information Officer or State Assistant Public Information Officer, as the case may be ;
- (c) official designation and address of the Officer against the decision of whom the appeal is preferred;
- (d) particulars of the order including number, if any , against which the appeal is preferred;
- (e) brief facts leading to the appeal;
- (f) prayer or relief sought;
- (g) grounds for the prayer or relief;
- (h) verification by the appellant; and

(i) any other information which the Commission may deem necessary for deciding the appeal.

(2) the appellant shall submit three copies of the memorandum of appeal for official purpose.

(3) Every appeal made to the Commission shall be accompanied by the following documents, namely :—

(a) self attested copies of the Orders or documents against which the appeal is being preferred;

(b) copies of documents relied upon by the appellant and referred to in the appeal; and

(c) an index of the documents referred to in the appeal.

Provided that in case complete documents are not furnished, the appeal shall not be rejected but the appellant shall be asked to complete the above formalities.

Procedure for
Deciding appeal
Section 19(10)

7. Before deciding an appeal, the Commission shall , —

(a) serve notice to the concerned persons;

(b) entertain any evidence in support of appeal, which may be oral or in writing from the concerned persons;

(c) examine on oath or an affidavit from the persons concerned;

(d) examine the documents or any records or copies thereof;

(e) inquire through the authorized office the facts of the appeal or may require facts in detail, if he so deems appropriate, hear the State Public Information Officer or any other senior officer who had decided the first appeal, as the case may be; and

(f) receive evidence on affidavits from the State Public Information Officer or any senior officer who had decided the appeal or from any other

person from whom the evidence may be deemed necessary.

Mode of
serving notice
section 19(10)

8. The Commission may serve notice to the persons concerned in any of the following modes, namely :—

- (a) By hand delivery (dasti) through process server;
- (b) By Registered Post, Speed Post, Under Postal Certificate, Courier or such other means ;
- (c) By electronic mail, if e-mail address is provided ; or
- (d) By publication in the newspaper.

Appearance or
Appellant /
Complainant

9. The appellant or the complainant, as the case may be, shall in every case be informed of the date of hearing at least 15 clear days before that date. If the complainant/ appellant fails to appear on the date of hearing, the Commission will decide the matter on merits:

Provided that where the Commission is satisfied that the circumstances exist due to which the complainant or appellant is being prevented from attending the hearing, then, he may afford the complainant or appellant another opportunity of being heard before taking a final decision.

Order by
Commission
section 19(10)

10. (1) the Commission shall make order in writing and pronounce the same in the presence of the concerned parties.

(2) The party concerned may obtain the copy of the order from the Commission.

Repeal and
Saving

11. The Haryana Right to Information Rules, 2005 are hereby repealed:

Provided that any order made or action taken under the rules so repealed shall be deemed to have been made or taken under the corresponding provisions of these rules.

MODEL FORM 'A'

[See rule 3(1)]

To

State Public Information Officer/
State Assistant Public Information Officer,
_____ (Name of the office with address)

(1) Full Name of the applicant:

(2) Address:

(3) Particulars of Information required—

- (i) Subject matter of information*
- (ii) The period to which the information relates**
- (iii) Description of the information required***
- (iv) Whether information is required by post or in person
(actual postal charges shall be include in additional fee)
- (v) In case by post (Ordinary, Registered or Speed post)

Place:

Date:

Signature of the applicant.

* Broad category of the subject to be included
(such as grant / Government land/ Service matters/ Licenses etc.)

** Relevant period for which information is required to be indicated.

*** Specific details of the information are required to be indicated.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Received your application dated Vide diary
No..... dated.....

Signature of State Public Information Officer/
Sate Assistant Public Information Officer
(Name of the Department/Office).....

MODEL FORM 'B'

[See rule 4(4)]

From

Designation of the State Public
Information Officer.

To

Name of the applicant
Address of the applicant.

Sir,

Please refer to your application dated
addressed to the undersigned requesting for information under Right
to Information Act, 2005.

1. The additional fee for supplying this information is ₹.....
2. You are requested to pay the fee through any of the mode of payment i.e. either in cash against proper receipt, **by Bank Draft, by Indian Postal Order or by Treasury Challan** as mentioned in rule 4(1) of the Haryana Right to Information Rules, 2009 and send a copy of proof thereof to this office so that the requisite information can be supplied to you.
3. In case you are dissatisfied with the above estimate, you are requested to submit an appeal before the Appellate Authority.....

(Name of the department).

State Public Information Officer

URVASHI GULATI,
Chief Secretary to Government, Haryana

REGISTER OF REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION & DISPOSAL OF REQUESTS UNDER RTI ACT, 2005

w.e.f. 1-1-2011 to 31-12-2011										
Sr. No.	Name of the Public Authority	Number of requests received	No. of requests rejected (reasons in brief) as per provisions of the Act.		Particulars of any disciplinary action taken against any official on account of violation of RTI Act.	The amount of charges collected			Factual report of the efforts made by the Public Authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this Act.	Recommendations, if any, received for the development/ improvement/ modernization/ reform or amendment to this Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant to RTI Act and action taken thereon.
1	2	3	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	6	7
			u/s 8	u/s 9	On the recommendations of the Commission	Otherwis e	Applica tion Charges u/s 6(1)	Documen tation charges u/s 7(3)		
Heads of Department										
1	Agriculture (Director)	309	2	0	0	0	12515	3834	All steps have been taken to implement the RTI Act.	0
2	C.I.D. (ADGP.CID(H))	122	47	0	0	0	4450	341	0	0
3	Development & Panchayats (Director)	270	0	0	0	0	4755	342	0	0
4	School Education (Director General)	5149	0	0	0	0	11540	5000	0	0
5	Elementary Education (Director)	1762	0	0	0	0	22429	1239	To give information and motivation to the applicants under RTI Act, 2005.	No

6	Elections (Chief Electoral)	388	0	2	0	0	7246	4373	Information provided well in time as per RTI Rule.	0
7	Electronics & Information Technology (Director)	30	0	0	0	0	550	442	Efforts made for implementation of the Act.	0
8	Employment (Director)	124	1	2	0	0	4850	246	0	0
9	Health Services (Director)	1425	Five applications rejected due to less than payment of fees as required under the RTI Act, 2005.	0	0	0	85117	898	All possible efforts were made by the public authorities to administrator and implement of the spirit and intimation of this Act.	0
10	ESI (Director)	73	0	0	0	0	2485	440	Efforts made for implementation of the Act.	0
11	Home Guards & Civil Defence (Comdt. Genl.)	76	0	0	0	0	1550	1911	PIO's & APIO's have been appointed in every distt. They have directed to strictly comply the provision of the Act.	
12	Horticulture (Director)	127	5	4	1	3	4250	3798	0	8048
13	Hospitality (Director)	8	0	0	0	0	50	50	Reports provided to the applicant on real facts under administrator and implement the spirit and intention of this Act.	0
14	Industries & Commerce (Director)	569	8	0	500	9890	23228	11588	0	0
15	Law & Legislative (L.R & Secy.)	42	0	0	0	0	820	1120	0	0
16	Mines & Geology (Director)	126	0	0	0	0	4490	2667	0	0
17	Police (D.G)	17782	305	7	0	0	728739	175233	0	0

18	PWD (B&R) (E.I.C.)	1600	1	0	9	0	36593	23731	Full efforts are made to implement the spirit and intention of this Act.	0
19	Rural Development (Director)	157	0	0	0	0	3300	965	0	0
20	Science & Technology (Director)	24	0	0	0	0	300	472	0	0
21	Sports & Youth Affairs (Director)	96	0	0	0	4203	356	0	0	0
22	Supplies & Disposals (Director)	18	0	0	0	0	900	7598	0	0

23	Technical Education (Director)	1082	0	0	0	0	24887	10051	<p>i) Steps have been taken in accordance with the requirements of clause B of section 4(1) to provide as much information to the public by placing the information on the website of the Deptt. ii) State PIOs have been designated at Head Quarter level and in all the field officers of the Deptt. to provide information under RTI act. iii) The names of SPIOs/APIOs and FAAs designated under the RTI ACT have been prominently displayed on the notice board.iv)Format of RTI Application & Quantum of fee as per Haryana Right to Information Rules 2005/2009 have also been displayed prominently on the notice board for the convenience of information seekers.v)The RTI information under the ACT are being provided within the stipulated period of time i.e. within 30 days.</p>	0
24	Town & Country Planning (Director)	350	0	0	0	0	17938	4539	<p>Compliance had been made under rules and disposed off. Sincere efforts have been made to in prove the Act effectiviely.</p>	0

25	Transport Commissioner (Transport Commissioner)	1896	u/s 18 3	u/s 19 6	1	3	47530	1096	Reply of every request is conveyed to the applicant well in time to Administrator,implement the spirit and intention of this Act.	Mostly the information is related to Driving license and registration of Vehicle and ownership. Thereon the above issue, the information constantly sought either by the advocate who are engaged in the MACT. Cases in the Hon'ble court of various part of the country or by the owner. but in some cases information sought by the information activist like that how many D/L, R/C up to till date issued and what type of vehicle registered with your office from the date of establishment of this office. the information sought by them is actually not related to them, it is only harassment of officials posted in public dealing offices. therefore, it is requested to convey some suggestions to Hon'ble information Commission, Govt. of India aimed some rules that the information is be provided to the Right and actual needy applicants.
26	State Transport Controller (State Transport)	537	0	0	0	0	11940	3969	Efforts information made to implement the RTI Act,2005 as per instructions received from Head Quarters & public from time to time.	0
27	Urban Local Bodies (Director)	2022	255	0	0	0	91960	19889	Staff has been sensitized perform and instructions have been displayed on the notice board and the public visiting this office are being taught regarding the utility of this Act and procedure to be followed while seeking information under this Act.	0

28	State Vigilance Bureau (Director)	39	0	0	0	0	850	235	10 (Instructions record from higher authorities from time to time are being followed.)	The RTI information is being short in most of the cases to blackmail and harrass the officers/officials. It is requested that genuiness of the information be varified and the fradulent information seeker be discouraged. (ii) Seprate staff may kindly be deputed to deal with the applications of the RTI.
29	Food & Drug Admn., Haryana (Commisioner).	94	0	0	0	0	2650	900	Being implemented in letter and spirit.	0
30	Registrar, MDU, Rohtak	2150	0	0	0	0	85708	25965	0	0
31	Medical Education & Research, Haryana	25	0	0	0	0	790	0	Efforts were made to dispose off all the applications within time limit. Some of the applications were disposed off within a week period also.	0
32	Home Department	80	0	0	0	0	2870	0	0	0
	Total	38552	624	15	511	14099	1247636	312932	0	0
Board & Corporation										
1	Agro-Industries Corpn. Ltd. (Chairman)	49	0	0	0	0	2155	446	0	0
2	Coop. Dev. Fed. Ltd. (M.D)	1	0	0	0	0	50	50	We have displayed all the performas on the Notice Board as required under the act.	0

3	Coop. Housing Fed Ltd. (Chairman)	36	0	0	0	0	1860	1796	The Act is implemented in true spirit and with the intention to provide the required information in time under the RTI act, 2005.	No recommendation is received from anyone.
4	Kurukshetra Dev. Board (Chairman)	40	5	0	0	0	850	712	0	0
5	Coop. Supply & Marketing Fed Ltd. (HAFED) (Chairman)	248	0	0	0	0	10735	11850	Information given to the applicants as per RTI Act, 2005.	0
6	Power Generation Corpn. (HPGC) (Chairman)	333	1	0	0	0	11260	19957	The information under RTI Act 2005 as received from various applicants has been supplied within a stipulated period of 30 days in accordance with the Act. Efforts have always been made to administer and implements the spirit and intention of this Act in true spirit.	As & when any recommendation for the development/improvement/modernization reform or amendment of this Act has been received from the Competent Authority, the same has been persuaded vigorously and action taken in time.
7	Electronics Dev. Corpn. Ltd. (HARTRON) (Chairman)	77	0	0	0	0	2400	2132	Efforts are being made for implementation of the Act.	Nil
8	Financial Corpn. (HFC) (Chairman)	84	8	0	0	0	3850	4734	(i) Action w.r.t. publishing documents mentioned u/s 4(1)(b)(i) to (xvii) of RTI Act, 2005 already compiled with the information is available on corporations website http://www.hfcindia.org . (ii) Corporation has already designated/ appointed public information officer and APIO at HQ, Chd. Further, the corporation has also designated its Branch Manager (s) as APIO at Branch/ District Level. The	0

									Corporation has five Branch offices in the Haryana and Delhi. (iii) The ED of the corporation of the HQ is the FAA. as regards giving publicity to this Act. The Corporation issued a circular on 30.11.2005/1.12.2005 . All the Branch Manager APIO at Branch district level have already instructed to prominently display on the notice board. the details of officers who have been notified as PIOs/APIOs as well as FAAs under the RTI Act, 2005. They have also been instructed to display on the notice board the format for seeking the information as per RTI Act, 2005 alongwith details of fee required to be deposited undr the RTI Act. the above information is also available on corporations website www.hfcindia.org .	
9	Kurukshetra Dev. Board (Chairman)	40	5(due to less fees received).	0	0	0	850	712	0	0
10	Rajya Sainik Board (Secy.)	58	0	0	0	0	2020	492	0	0
11	Renewable Energy Development Agency (HAREDA) (Chairman)	22	0	0	0	0	200	0	0	0
12	Seed Certification Agency (Chairman)	6	0	0	0	0	200	0	0	0
13	Seeds Dev. Corpn. Ltd. (Chairman)	58	0	0	0	0	2900	2254	0	0

14	Tourism Dev. Corpn. Ltd. (Chairperson)	89	0	0	0	0	2950	2672	0	0
15	Urban Development Authority (HUDA) (C.A)	2828	0	0	0	165	115385	20668	Guidelines and instructions have been issued to the SPIOs and FAAs for ensuring implementation of the RTI Act, 2005 in letter and spirit.	
16	Warehousing Corpn. (Chairman)	110	0	0	0	0	4550	6124	0	0
17	Lokayukta Haryana	63	0	0	0	0	2550	3225	Detail is in accompanying annexure "A".	Detail is in accompanying annexure "A".
18	Shri Mata Sheetla Devi Shrine Board Gurgaon	13	0	0	0	0	620	1820	During Mela and otherwise General public is educated about the benefits of RTI Act, 2005.	0
19	CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar	491	0	0	0	0	4583	26712	0	0
20	Staff Selection Commission, Haryana	2646	600	30	0	0	100365	0	Full efforts have been made by SPIO to implement the Act. The Commission has also launched its Website i.e. www.hssc.gov.in.	0
21	State Information Commission, Haryana	144	0	0	0	0	6000	661	0	0
	Total	7436	619	30	0	165	276333	107017	0	0

Divisional Commissioners

1	Commissioner, Gurgaon Division, Gurgaon	1422	0	0	0	0	72484	9406	All kinds of efforts made it as Director in this Act.	0
2	Commissioner, Hisar Division, Hisar.	70	0	0	0	0	2870	222	Applications are being disposed off immediately under this RTI Act, 2005.	0
3	Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak.	99	0	0	0	0	2360	250	0	0
	Total	1591	0	0	0	0	77714	9878	0	0

Deputy Commissioners

1	Deputy Commissioner, Fatehabad	480	1	1	0	0	22200	5550		
2	Deputy Commissioner, Jhajjar	598	18	0	0	0	21170	1841	0	0
3	Deputy Commissioner, Rewari	1277	0	0	0	0	33880	1055	0	0
4	Deputy Commissioner, Sirsa	123	0	0	0	1300	0	0	Reports being within time.	Yes
	Total	2478	19	1	0	1300	77250	8446	0	0

District & Sesstion Judges

1	District & Sessions Judge, Ambala	49	0	0	0	0	1930	280	The information was made available at the earliest possible and within the prescribed time. Information provided at time.	0
---	---	----	---	---	---	---	------	-----	--	---

2	District & Sessions Judge, Bhiwani	8	0	0	0	0	1380	0	0	0
3	District & Sessions Judge, Faridabad	31	0	0	0	0	1190	0	All the applications were disposed off in time.	No recommendations received.
4	District & Sessions Judge, Fatehabad	5	1(Not relates to the office).	0	0	0	100	0	0	0
5	District & Sessions Judge, Gurgaon	109	2(One application rejected as the same was not jurisdiction and other application was rejected as no RTI was in force in 1995)	0	0	0	2730	1434	0	0
6	District & Sessions Judge, Hisar	41	1(Because of insufficient particulars)	0	0	0	2180	950	0	0
7	District & Sessions Judge, Jind	2	0	0	0	50	0	0	Every possible efforts are being made to implement the Act in its letter and spirit. (ii) Informaiton has been sent through registered post on 17.10.2011.	0

8	District & Sessions Judge, Jhajjar	22	2 (i) None of payment of fee. (ii) Returns as instruments of payment of fee defective.	0	0	0	1000	1940	0	0
9	District & Sessions Judge, Kaithal	6	2	0	0	0	250	0	Every endover is made to implement the provision of the Act in its letter and spirit.	No recommendations have been received as yet.
10	District & Sessions Judge, Karnal	48	8(Not on prescribed perform or for want of correct particulars).	0	0	0	3200	0	0	0
11	District & Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra	17	4	0	0	0	950	0	0	0
12	District & Sessions Judge, Mahendergarh at Narnaul	27	0	0	0	0	900	110	0	0
13	District & Sessions Judge, Panchkula	17	1(Application was not submitted as per Rule).	0	0	0	960	0	The information was supplied to the applicant. (ii) The application disposed off.	0
14	District & Sessions Judge, Panipat	8	0	0	0	0	450	90	0	0
15	District & Sessions Judge, Rewari	19	0	0	0	0	750	100	0	0

16	District & Sessions Judge, Rohtak	15	2	0	0	0	150	100	0	0
17	District & Sessions Judge, Sirsa	36	1	0	0	0	1250	720	Information was provided.	0
18	District & Sessions Judge, Sonapat	25	3	0	0	0	1100	1120	0	0
19	District & Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar	6	2(One application return to Civil Surgeon. One transfer to C.J. M, Jagadhri.	3 Applications returned .	0	0	50	0	0	0
	Total	491	12	0	0	50	20520	6844	0	0

Administrative Secretaries

1	Chief Secretary to Government Haryana, Chandigarh	1012	0	0	0	0	27421	3597	0	0
2	Revenue & Disaster Management & Consolidation Departments	219	0	0	0	0	10450	11516	0	0
3	Technical Education & Industrial Training Department	591	0	0	0	0	18500	18922	website of the Department already has been launched SPIO and APIO of the department have been given training regarding RTI Act by HIPA.	0
4	Irrigation Department	234	0	0	0	0	4400	905	150	0

5	Tourism & Housing Departments	89	0	0	0	0	2950	2675	0	0
6	Health Department	345	0	0	0	0	10030	6865	0	0
7	Welfare of SCs & BCs Department	480	0	0	0	0	6660	2409	Every efforts were made to implement this Act.	0
8	Secretary to Governor, Haryana	477	8	0	0	0	15807	0	0	0
9	Haryana Vidhan Sabha Secretariat	62	0	0	0	0	2140	7680	Sincere efforts are being made for implement the provision of the Act on letter and spirit..	Act should be amended to the curb misuse and abuse of the Right or the procedure. The application seeking informaiton should be based on the matter of urgent public importance and should not be of excessive length involving voluminous record or relating to very old records i.e. more than fifteen years old. The period for disposal of request should be sixty days at the level of the SPIO. (ii) Specific training programmes for SPIOs and FAAs should be organise on time to time. Provisions should be made to create seprate post for the additional work under the RTI Act, 2005. There should be provisions to provide honorarium to the SPIO and FAAs to perform additional duties under the RTI Act.
	Total	3509	8	0	0	0	98358	54569	0	0
	Grand Total	54057	1277	46	511	15614	1797811	499686	0	0

ANNEXURE –‘C’

**LIST OF MAJOR PUBLIC AUTHORITIES
FROM WHOM INFORMATION HAS BEEN RECEIVED**

Heads of Department

- 1 Advocate General (A.G)
- 2 Animal Husbandry & Dairying (Director General)
- 3 Archaeology & Museums (Director)
- 4 Architecture (Chief Architect)
- 5 Archives (Director)
- 6 Ayush (Director)
- 7 Charitable Endowments (Admtr. Genl. & Official Trustee)
- 8 Census Operations (Director)
- 9 Civil Aviation (Advisor)
- 10 Consolidation (Director)
- 11 Cooperation (Registrar)
- 12 Economic & Statistical Analysis (ESA)
- 13 Higher Education (Commissioner)
- 14 Chief Electrical Inspector (Chief Electrical)
- 15 State Employment Exchange (Dy. Director)
- 16 Environment (Director)
- 17 Exise & Taxation (E.T.C)
- 18 Fisheries (Director)

- 19 Food & Supplies (Director)
- 20 Forests (P.C.C.F)
- 21 Grievances (Director)
- 22 Reproductive & Child Health (Project)
- 23 Haryana Aids Control Society (Chairperson)
- 24 State TB. Cont. Socy & Leprosy Socy. (Chairman)
- 25 State TB. Association Haryana (President)
- 26 Haryana Institute of Public Administration (HIPA) (Director)
- 27 Industrial Training & Vocational Education (Director)
- 28 Institutional Finance & Credit Control (Director)
- 29 Irrigation (Advisor)
- 30 Irrigation Research & Management Institute (HIRMI) (Principal Director)
- 31 Labour (Labour)
- 32 Land Records (Director)
- 33 Haryana State Legal Services Authority (Exe Chairman)
- 34 Local Audit (Director)
- 35 Lotteries (Director)
- 36 NIC-Computer Centre (Sr. Tech.)
- 37 Panchayati Raj Public Works ((C.E.)
- 38 Printing & Stationery (Controller)
- 39 Prisons (D.G)
- 40 Prosecution (Director)

- 41 Public Relations & Cultural Affairs (DPR)
- 42 PW (Water Supply and Sanitation) (EIC)
- 43 Rehabilitation (Joint Secy.)
- 44 Renewable Energy (Director)
- 45 Revenue Training Institute (Principal)
- 46 Social Justice & Empowerment (Director)
- 47 Small Savings (Director)
- 48 Tourism (Director)
- 49 Treasuries & Accounts (Director)
- 50 Urban Estates (Director)
- 51 Vigilance (Inquiry Officer)
- 52 Welfare of Scheduled Castes & Backward Classes (Director)
- 53 Women & Child Development (Director)
- 54 Vigilance Department, Haryana

Board & Corporation

- 1 Agriculture Marketing Board (Chairman)
- 2 Backward Classes & Economically Weaker Sections Kalyan Nigam (Chairperson)
- 3 Board of Ayurvedic & Unani Systems of Medicine (Chairman)
- 4 Council of Homoeopathic System of Medicine (Chairman)
- 5 Command Area Development Authority (Administrator)
- 6 Coop. Apex Bank Ltd. (Chairman)

- 7 Coop. Labour & Construction Fed. Ltd. (Chairman)
- 8 Fed of Coop. Sugar Mills Ltd. (Chairman)
- 9 Fed of Consumers Coop. Wholesale Stores Ltd. (CONFED) (Chairman)
- 10 State Counseling Board (Chairman)
- 11 Dairy Dev. Coop. Fed. Ltd. (Chairperson)
- 12 Vidyut Prasaran Nigam (HVPN) (Chairman)
- 13 Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam (Chairman)
- 14 Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam (Chairman)
- 15 Forests Dev. Corpn. Ltd. (HFDC) (Chairman)
- 16 Handloom & Handicrafts Corpn. Ltd. (Chairman)
- 17 Scheduled Castes Finance & Dev. Corpn. Ltd. (Chairman)
- 18 Housing Board (Chairperson)
- 19 Small Inds. & Export Corpn. Ltd. (Chairman)
- 20 Indl. Coop. Fed Ltd. (Liquidator)
- 21 Industrial & Infrastructure Dev. Corpn. Ltd. (HSIIDC) (Chairman)
- 22 Kala Parishad (Vice-Chairman)
- 23 Khadi & Village Industries Board (Chairman)
- 24 Land Reclamation & Dev. Corpn. Ltd. (HLRDC) (Chairman)
- 25 Land Use Board (Director-cum-Member Secy.)
- 26 Livestock Dev. Board (Chairman)
- 27 Shri Mata Mansa Devi Shrine Board (Chairman)
- 28 Medical Council (President)

- 29 State Dental Council (President)
- 30 Nurses Registration Council (President)
- 31 Mewat Development Agency (Chairman)
- 32 Minor Irrigation & Tubewells Corpn. Ltd. (MITC) (M.D)
- 33 Overseas Placement Assistance Society (HOPAS) (Chairman)
- 34 Pharmacy Council (Registrar)
- 35 Police Housing Corpn. Ltd. (Chairman)
- 36 Pollution Control Board (Chairman)
- 37 Haryana Prathmik Shiksha Pariyojna Parishad (President)
- 38 Haryana Panjabi Sahitya Akademi (Chairman))
- 39 Roads & Bridge Dev. Corpn. Ltd. (Chairman)
- 40 Rural Dev. Fund Admn. Board (HRDF) (Chairperson)
- 41 SAMVAD (Chairman)
- 42 Haryana Sahitya Akedemi (Chairman)
- 43 Sanskrit Akademi (Chairman)
- 44 Sanskritic Akademi (Member Secy.)
- 45 Council for Science & Technology (Chairman/EC)
- 46 Shivalik Development Board (Chairman)
- 47 Slum Clearance Board (Chief Admtr.)
- 48 Social welfare Board (Chairperson)
- 49 Swatantrata Sainik Samman Samiti (Chairman)
- 50 Panchkula Circle (Admn. (P))

- 51 Haryana Urdu Akademi (Chairman)
- 52 Haryana Women Dev. Corpn. (Chairperson)
- 53 Swarana Jayanti Shahari Rozgar Yojana & Urban Dev. Society (Project Director)
- 54 Council for Child Welfare (President)
- 55 Wakf Board (Chairman)
- 56 Welfare Society for Hearing & Speech Handicapped (President)
- 57 Blindness Control Society (Chairperson)
- 58 Indian Red Cross Society (President)
- 59 Bhartiya Grameen Mahila Sangh (President)
- 60 Hind Kusht Nivaran Sangh, Hr. (President)
- 61 Saket Council (President)
- 62 State Election Commission, Haryana
- 63 Haryana Public Service Commission (HPSC)
- 64 Haryana State Commission for women (Pkl.)
- 65 State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission (Secretary)
- 66 Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak (Director)
- 67 Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission (HERC)
- 68 State Information Commission, Haryana, Chandigarh

Divisional Commissioners

- 1 Commissioner, Ambala Division, Ambala

Deputy Commissioners

- 1 Deputy Commissioner, Ambala
- 2 Deputy Commissioner, Bhiwami
- 3 Deputy Commissioner, Faridabad
- 4 Deputy Commissioner, Gurgaon
- 5 Deputy Commissioner, Hisar
- 6 Deputy Commissioner, Jind
- 7 Deputy Commissioner, Karnal
- 8 Deputy Commissioner, Kaithal
- 9 Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra
- 10 Deputy Commissioner, Mahendergarh (Narnaul)
- 11 Deputy Commissioner, Mewat (Nuh)
- 12 Deputy Commissioner, Palwal
- 13 Deputy Commissioner, Panipat
- 14 Deputy Commissioner, Panchkula
- 15 Deputy Commissioner, Rohtak
- 16 Deputy Commissioner, Sonapat
- 17 Deputy Commissioner, Yamuna Nagar

District & Sesstion Judges

- 1 District & Sessions Judge, Mewat at Nuh
- 2 District & Sessions Judge, Palwal

Administrative Secretaries

- 1 Political Department
- 2 Environment Department
- 3 Food & supplied Department & Secretary office of Lokayukta Haryana
- 4 Urban Local Bodies Department
- 5 Home, Jails, Criminal Investigation & Administration of Justice Department
- 6 Cooperation Department
- 7 Public Health Engineering Department
- 8 Women & Child Development Department
- 9 Finance & IFCC & Planning Departments
- 10 Excise & Taxation Department
- 11 Transport & Civil Aviation Department
- 12 Power Department
- 13 Forests Department
- 14 Town & Country Planning & Urban Estates Department
- 15 Industries & Commerce, Mines & Geology & Electronics & Information Technology Departments
- 16 Higher Education & Languages Department
- 17 Archives Department
- 18 Sports & Youth Affairs & Secretary, Cell for proper utilization/disposal of Government property,
nodal officer for monitoring the CM's announcements & looking after the work of monitoring & Coordination
- 19 Agriculture Department
- 20 PW(B&R) & Architecture Departments

- 21 Animal Husbandry & Dairying Department & Member Secretary, Third State Finance Commission, Haryana
- 22 Science & Technology & Renewable Energy Sources Department
- 23 Archaeology & Museums Department
- 24 School Education, Labour & Employment Departments & Chairperson, Haryana Minerals Ltd. New Delhi
- 25 Development & Panchayats Department & Secretary BAC & Commissioner Gurdwara Elections Haryana
- 26 Information & Public Relations, Cultural Affairs & Grievances Departments
- 27 Social Justice & Empowerment Department
- 28 Printing & Stationery Department
- 29 C&S, Fisheries Department
- 30 Chief Electoral Officer, Haryana, Elections Department & Director Renewable Energy Sources, Haryana

Annexure 'D'

**DETAILS OF PENALTY IMPOSED UPON THE STATE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER
UNDER SECTION 20(1) OF THE RTI Act, 2005 by the Commission.
During 1.1.2011 to 31.12.2011**

Case No.	Date of Decision	Amount of Penalty Imposed	detail of defaulter SPIO	Name / Address of the Public Authority
529/2010 in 443/2010 in 316/2010	17.1.2011	5750/-	Sh. Vivek Soni Vs Sh. K.K. Gupta, SPIO-cum-Superintending Engineer (Commercial), Dakshin Haryana Bijali Vitran Nigam limited, Hisar	The Managing Director, Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar.
528/2010 in 405,406 & 407/2010 in 292/2010	17.1.2011	5000/-	Sh. Kuldeep Singh Deswal Vs Sh. O.P. Ahlawat, the then Deemed SPIO-cum-Superintending Engineer (Op.), Dakshin Haryana Bijali Vitran Nigam Limited, Hisar.	The Managing Director, Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar.
592/2010IN 2673/2010	19.1.2011	2000/-	Sh. Ramesh Kumar Vs Secretary, Municipal Corporation, Chika, Haryana	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
739/2010 in 461/2010 in 2586/2010	3.2.2011	5000/-	Sh. Subhash Chander Sharma Vs Smt. Sangeeta Yadav, the then SPIO-cum-Deputy District Education Officer, Rewari (now posted as District Project Coordinator, Sarv Shiksha Abhiyan, Rashtriya Madhyamik Abhiyan, Rewari).	Director, School Education, Shiksha Sadan, Sector 5, Haryana, Panchkula.
643/2010 in 2637/2010	17.2.2011	9000/-	Sh. Kanwal Sharma Vs SPIO-cum-District Revenue Officer, Sonapat	Deputy Commissioner, Sonapat.
747/2010 in 3025/2010	21.2.2011	13000/-	Sh. Brijesh Sharma Vs Sh. Birbal Chaudhary, the then SPIO-cum-Deputy District Education Officer, Panipat (now District Elementary Education Officer, Bhiwani).	Director, School Education, Shiksha Sadan, Sector 5, Haryana, Panchkula.
710/2010 in 2914/2010	1.3.2011	10000/-	Sh. Ishwar Singh VS Block Development Panchayat Officer, Hansi-I	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchyat Bhawan,

				Plot No. 3, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh.
15/2010 in 1778/2009	1.3.2011	10000/-	Sh. S.K. Duggal Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Faridabad.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
627/2010 in 2642/2010	10.3.2011	10000/-	Sh. Narender Dhamija Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Faridabad.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
686/2010 in 2207/2010	10.3.2011	10000/-	Ms. Madhu Tewatia Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Faridabad	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
362/2010 in 1587/2010	10.3.2011	15000/-	Sh. Durga Dutt Vs Haryana Roadways, Chandigarh.	State Transport Controller, Haryana, 2 nd Floor, 30 Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh.
261/2010 in 1303/2010	12.5.2011	15000/-	Sh. Naresh Kumar Joon Vs Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Jhajjar	State Transport Controller, Haryana, 2 nd Floor, 30 Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh.
323/2010 in 2900/2010	12.5.2011	15000/-	Smt. Harbans Kaur Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Sonapat	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
322/2011 in 2556/2009	7.7.2011	10000/-	Sh. Mohinder Pal Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Faridabad	Estate Officer, HUDA, Faridabad
773/2010 in 2858/2010	14.7.2011	5000/-	Sh. B.D. Dhawan Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Sonapat	Estate Officer, HUDA, Sonapat
685/2010 in 2388/2011	18.8.2011	10000/-	Suraj Parkash Kaushik Vs Transport Department	Director General, State Transport,

				Haryana, Chandigarh.
554/2011 in 1401/2011	23.8. 2011	5000/-	Sh. Rakesh Asthna Vs. District Town Planeer (HQ), Haryana	Director General Town and Country Planning, Chandigarh
557/2011 in 1760/2011	8.9.2011	5000/-	Smt. Savitri Devi Vs Sh. Arvind Malhan, the then SPIO O/o HUDA, Faridabad now posted as Additional Deputy Commissioner, Jind.	Sh. Arvind Malhan, the then SPIO now Additional Deputy Commissioner, Jind.
423/2011 in 7/2011 in 2974/11	8.9.2011	5000/-	Sh. N.S. Gulia Vs Sh. Arvind Malhan, the then SPIO O/o HUDA, Faridabad now posted as ADC, Jind.	Sh. Arvind Malhan, the then SPIO now Additional Deputy Commissioner, Jind.
10120/11 in 3107/2011	8.9.2011	5000/-	Sh. Navdeep Gupta Vs Sh. Arvind Malhan, the then SPIO O/o HUDA, Faridabad now posted as ADC, Jind.	Sh. Arvind Malhan, the then SPIO now Additional Deputy Commissioner, Jind.
401/2011 in 1121/2011	8.9.2011	5000/-	Sh. K.C. Malhotra Vs Sh. Arvind Malhan, the then SPIO O/o HUDA, Faridabad now posted as Additional Deputy Commissioner, Jind.	Sh. Arvind Malhan, the then SPIO now Additional Deputy Commissioner, Jind.
549/2011 in 1508/2010	13.9.2011	5000/-	Sh. Rakesh Bansal Vs Sh. Ashwani Kumar, HUDA, Panchkula.	The SPIO-cum-Estate Officer, HUDA, Panchkula.
281/2011 in 543/2011 in 2173/2010	15.9.2011	10000/-	Sh. Kuldeep Chand, Manimajra Vs Dr. S.S. Saini, the then SPIO O/o HUDA, Panchkula, now posted as Additional Director, Secondary Education, Haryana	Additional Director, Secondary Education, Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Sector 5, Panchkula.
--do--	15.9.2011	5000/-	Sh. Kuldeep Chand, Manimajra Vs Sh. Ashwani Kumar Sharma, SPIO-cum-Estate Officer, HUDA, Panchkula.	The SPIO-cum-Estate Officer, HUDA, Panchkula.
648 & 649/2011 in 2138/2011	21.9.2011	10000/-	Sh. Om Parkash Vs Block Development Panchayat Officer, Ateli Nangal, Mahendergarh.	The Director Development and Panchayats, Haryana, Panchayat Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Sector 28- A, Chandigarh.

519 & 457/2010 in 1920/2010	26.4.2011	25,000/-	Sh. Sandep Singh v/s Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agriculture University, Hisar	Sh. Dr. S.R. Verma, SPIO-cum-Professor (Plant Breeding), Chaudhary Charana Haryana Agriculture University, Hisar
704/2010 IN 2204/2010	21.9.2011	25000/-	Sh. Ashok Bhardwaj v/s District Revenue Officer, Sonapat	Sh. Suresh Kumar, SPIO-cum-District Revenue Officer, Sonapat
492/2011 in 2184/2011	12.10.2011	15000/-	Sh. Mahesh Kumar v/s Deputy District Education Officer, Nuh	SPIO-cum-Deputy District Education Officer, Nuh
437/2011 in 3501/2011	4.10.2011	10000/-	Sh. Ved Bhushan v/s Senior Town Planner, HUDA, Gurgaon	SPIO-cum-Senior Town Planner, Gurgaon
632/2011 in 2915/2011	5.10.2011	10000/-	Sh. Ajay Dusad v/s Estate Officer, Faridabad	Sh. Arvind Malhan SPIO-cum-Estate Officer, HUDA, Faridabad
759/2010 in 2929/2010	5.10.2011	5000/-	Sh. Sikander Lal Roheja v/s Estate Officer, Rewari	Sh. Ashwani Sharma, SPIO-cum-Estate Officer, HUDA, Rewari.
587/2011 in 1931/2011	5.10.2011	10000/-	Sh. Suresh Kumar v/s Estate Officer, Faridabad	Sh. Arvind Malhan SPIO-cum-Estate Officer, HUDA, Faridabad
414/2011 in 3544/2010	14.11.2011	10000/-	Sh. Vikas Mishra Vs Sh. Arvind Malhan, the then SPIO now posted as Sub-Divisional Magisterate, Safidon.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
416/2011 in 3545/2010	14.11.2011	10000/-	Sh. Vikas Mishra Vs Sh. Arvind Malhan, the then SPIO now posted as Sub Divisional Magisterate, Safidon.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.

415/2011 in 3541/2010	14.11.2011	10000/-	Sh. Vikas Mishra Vs Sh. Arvind Malhan, the then SPIO now posted as Sub Divisional Magisterate, Safidon.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
676/2011 in 2911/2011	14.11.2011	5000/-	Major Rattan Singh Yadav Vs HUDA, Rewari.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
568 % 569/2011 in 50/2011	14.11.2011	10000/-	Sh. Sultan Singh Vs HUDA, Rewari.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
699/2011 in 248/2011 in 3315/2010	14.11.2011	5000/-	Sh. Ramesh Chhabra Vs Sh. Arvind Malhan, SPIO-cum-Estate Officer, HUDA, Fbd.	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
515/2010 in 456/2010 in 188/2010	15.11.2011	5000/-	Sh. Deen Dayal Soni Vs Executive Officer, Municipal Corporation . Bhiwani.	The Director Urban & Local Bodies, Haryana, SCO No. 6-7, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.
765/2011 in 3087/2011	15.11.2011	5000/-	Sh. Kulbhushan Goyal Vs Sub-Divisional Officer (C), Pataudi	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi
745/2011 in 3505/2011	15.11.2011	5000/-	Sh. Uma Shankar Vs Sub-Divisional Officer (C), Pataudi	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi
697/2011 in 2420/2011	15.11.2011	5000/-	Sh. Gulshan Kumar Vs Sub-Divisional Officer (C), Pataudi	Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Pataudi
605/2011 in 1928/2011	16.11.2011	10000/-	Sh. Jagmohan Bansal Vs HUDA, Bhiwani	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.
564/2011 in	17.11.2011	25000/-	Sh. Jai Parkash Vs SPIO-cum-Assistant Secretary, Regional	Assistant Secretary, Regional Transport

1763/2011			Transport Authority, Hisar.	Authority, Hisar.
751/2010 in 2903/2010	29.3.2011	15000/-	Sh. Sohan Lal Vs Director General, State Transport, Haryana, Chd.	Director General, State Transport, Haryana, Chandigarh.
598/2011 in 1692/2011	8.12.2011	5000/-	Ms. Vidya Dhaka Vs Smt. Kusum Lata, SPIO-cum-Block Education Officer, Rohtak.	Director School Education
436/2011 in 1179/2011	12.12.2011	10000/-	Sh. Rajeev Sachdeva Vs Sh. Ashwani Sharma, the then SPIO- cum-Estate Officer, HUDA, Panchkula now posted as FAA.	The Chief Administrator, HUDA.
726/2010 in 3051/2010	14.12.2011	2000/-	Sh. Jasvir Singh Vs Director School Education, Haryana, Panchkula	Director School Education

List of cases in which recommendations were made to initiate Disciplinary Proceedings During 1.1.2011 to 31.12.2011 by the Commission

Case No	Particular of case	Date of Decision	No. defaulter employees	Name of Public Authority
27/2011 in case no. 1890/2010	Sh. Hari Om Vs Director School Education, Haryana.	16.2.2011	01	Director, School Education, Haryana
1622/2011	Smt. Sunita Rani Vs Civil Surgeon, Sirsa.	22.3.2011	01	Director General, Health Services, Haryana, Panchkula.
3265/2010	Sh. Anand Parkash Sharma Vs Sub-Divisional Officer (C), Rewari	20.4.2011	01	Deputy Commissioner, Rewari.
1806/2011	Sh. Jagdeep Kundu Vs Chaudhary Charan Singh , Haryana Agriculture University, Hisar.	21.4.2011	01	Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agriculture University, Hisar
277 and 278/2011 in 682/2010 in case no. 2197/2010	Sh. Mahavir Singh Vs Regional Transport Authority, Karnal	3.6.2011	01	Director General, State Transport, Haryana, Chandigarh.
1495/2011	Sh. Shree Niwas Vs Shri Mata Mansa Devi Shrine Board, Panchkula.	30.6.2011	01	Mata Mansa Devi Shrine Board, Panchkula.
1746/11	Sh. Parma Nand Vs HUDA, Gurgaon.	29.7.2011	02	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority,

				Sector 6, Panchkula.
2575/2011	Sh. Ishwar Singh Vs Inspector General of Police, Haryana, Panchkula.	30.8.2011	01	Director General of Police, Haryana, Panchkula.
644/2011 in case no. 2117/2011	Sh. Kishan Chand Vs HUDA, Gurgaon.	4.10.2011	01	The Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 6, Panchkula.

**DETAILS OF COMPENSATION AWARDED TO THE APPELLANTS/COMPLAINANTS
UNDER SECTION 19 (8) (B) OF THE RTI ACT, 2005 DURING 1.1.2011 to 31.12.2011**

Case No.	Date of Decision	Amount of compensation	Detail of Parties
2979/2010	6.1.2011	500/-	Sh. Ram Singh Vs Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Panipat
2201/2010	10.1.2011	500/-	Sh. Parveen Kumar Gupta Vs Director General, State Vigilance Bureau, Haryana, Panchkula
2523/2010	12.1.2011	10000/-	Sh. Mukesh Kumar Vs Additional Deputy Commissioner, Ambala
2966/2010	13.1.2011	1000/-	Smt. Manju Lata Vs Superintendent of Police. Jhajjar
3007/2010	13.1.2011	800/-	Ms. Meenaxi Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula
327/2010	14.1.2011	500/-	Ms. Sita Yadav Vs Superintendent, Distt & Session Judge, Panchkula
660/2010 in 2694/2010	14.1.2011	5000/-	Sh. Balbir Singh Vs District Social Welfare Officer, Bhiwani.
3002/2010	14.1.2011	1500/-	Sh. Arun Kumar Vs Secy. Municipal Corporation. Narnaul
2367/2010	17.1.2011	1000/-	Sh. Surinder Singh Dhillon Vs Inspector General of Police, Haryana, Panchkula
2805/2010	17.1.2011	500/-	Sh. Shamsher Singh Vs Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Jagadhari
2265/2010	18.1.2011	1000/-	Sh. Amarjit Singh Vs General Administration Department, Chandigarh
2273/2010	18.1.2011	1000/-	Sh. R.N. Soni Vs General Administration Department, Chandigarh
2836/10	19.1.2011	1000/-	Sh. Satguru Dass Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula.
2558/2010	20.1.2011	1000/-	Sh. Jitender Kumar Goyal Vs Director School Education, Haryana.

395/2010 in 1836/2010	20.1.2011	500/-	Sh. Ram Mehar Sharma Vs Director General Health Services, Haryana, Panchkula
2736/2010	27.1.2011	1000/-	Sh. Ram Singh Verma Vs Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani
2859/2010	27.1.2011	1000/-	Sh. Dharam Pal Vs District Revenue Officer, Rewari
3390/2010	2.2.2011	1000/-	Sh. Nayab Singh Vs Superintending Engineer, B&R, Haryana, Chandigarh.
3388/2010	4.2.2011	1000/-	Sh. S.C. Aggarwal Vs Principal, S.D. (PG) College, Panipat
3218/2010	4.2.2011	2000/-	Sh. Rajender Nath Khurana Vs Municipal Corporation. Palwal
3430/2010	7.2.2011	1000/-	Sh. Sanjeev Ojha Vs Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Jagadhri, (Yamuna Nagar).
3438/2010	7.2.2011	2000/-	Sh. Manohar Lal Vs Uttar Haryana Bijali Vitran Nigam Limited, Panipat.
3115/2010	9.2.2011	1500/-	Sh. K.C. Arya Vs Municipal Corporation. Faridabad.
3118/2010	10.2.2011	500/-	Sh. B.R. Dalal Vs Irrigation Department, Jind.
3120/2010	10.2.2011	500/-	Sh. Inderjeet Ahikari Vs Deputy Conservator of Forests, Forest Division, Sirsa.
2592/2010	15.2.2011	1000/-	Sh. Bijender Singh Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula.
3213/2010	15.2.2011	700/-	Sh. Bhavi Chand Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula.
3396/2010	15.2.2011	2000/-	Sh. Surjeet Singh Vs Women & Child Development Department, Haryana.
3223/2010	16.2.2011	350/-	Sh. B.R. Dalal Vs Irrigation Department, Jind.
3130/2010, 3241/2010 & 3242/2010	16.2.2011	750/-	Sh. Har Gobind Bhatia Vs Dakshin Haryana Bijali Vitran Nigam Limited, Hisar
365/2010	16.2.2011	2000/-	Smt. Sudesh Vs Haryana Vidyut Parsaran Nigam Limited, Panchkula.
3127/2010	17.2.2011	3000/-	Sh. Madan Lal Sharma Vs Deputy Commissioner, Ambala.

2355/2010	17.2.2011	1000/-	Sh. Ram Singh Verma Vs Director Forensic Science Laboratory, Haryana, Madhuban, Karnal
3418/2010	22.2.2011	500/-	Sh. Ram Karan Vs Haryana State Agriculture Marketing Board, Ambala.
3495/2010	24.2.2011	500/-	Sh. Vijay Singh Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula.
1216/2011	24.2.2011	500/-	Sh. Deen Dayal Soni Vs Deputy Civil Hospital, Gurgaon.
3471/2010	24.2.2011	1000/-	Sh. Prithvi Singh Lamba Vs Deputy District Education Officer, Hisar
27/2011	25.2.2011	500/-	Sh. Daya Nand Vs District Revenue Officer, Bhiwani.
1057/2011	23.2.2011	5000/-	Sh. Shyam Sunder Vs Executive Engineer, Public Health Engg. Deptt. Haryana, Panchkula.
1213/2011	24.2.2011	1000/-	Smt. Kanta Devi Vs Director Elementary Education Officer, Bhiwani.
1015/2011	1.3.2011	500/-	Sh. Rattan Singh Vs Superintendent of Police, State Vigilance Bureau, Haryana, Panchkula.
710/2010 in 2914/2010	1.3.2011	1000/-	Sh. Ishwar Singh Vs Block Development Panchayat Officer, Hansi-I.
3155/2010	8.3.2011	500/-	Sh. Bhupinder Singh Bairagi Vs Head Master, GHS, Kuchrana Kalan, Jind.
3456/2010	9.3.2011	500/-	Sh. Bal Kishan Vs Principal, Govt Senior Secondary School, Nangli Godha, Rewari.
3174/2010	9.3.2011	500/-	Sh. Krishan Kumar Vs Principal, Govt Senior Secondary School, Tihara (Rewari).
686/2010 in 2207/2010	10.3.2011	2000/-	Ms. Madhu Tewatia Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Faridabad.
1453/2011	10.3.2011	1000/-	Sh. Amit Bansal Vs S.E. Public Health Engineering Circle, Karnal.
3062/2010	11.3.2011	500/-	Sh. Bhupender Singh Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Haryana.
1479/2010	14.3.2011	1000/-	Sh. Rajesh Kumar Saini Vs Director, Social Welfare & Empowerment,

			Haryana.
1480/2011	14.3.2011	1000/-	Sh. Parvesh Jain Vs State Council of Educational Research & Training, Gurgaon.
1468/2011	14.3.2011	1000/-	Sh. Devender Singh Vs Director Elementary Education, Haryana, Panchkula.
3351/2010	15.3.2011	500/-	Sh. Zile Singh Mehta Vs Director, School Education, Haryana, Panchkula.
2887/2010	15.3.2011	500/-	Dr. M.C. Sharma Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula
2016/2010	15.3.2011	1000/-	Sh. Rajesh Kumar Vs Haryana Public Service Commission, Panchkula
1530/2011	15.3.2011	1000/-	Sh. Kesar Mal Vs District Elementary Officer, Mewat at Nuh.
1323/2011	16.3.2011	1000/-	Sh. Satbir Singh Chahal Vs Deputy Commissioner, Sonipat.
89/2011	17.3.2011	500/-	Sh. Pyare Lal Vs Suptd (Grievance), Chief Secretary to Govt. Haryana.
1618/2011	17.3.2011	1000/-	Sh. Sanjay Vs Deputy Director (Pension), Social Justice & Empowerment Department, Haryana, Chandigarh.
1612/2011	17.3.2011	5000/-	Sh. Duli Chand Vs District Elementary Education Officer, Bawal.
1622/2011	22.3.2011	5000/-	Smt. Sunita Rani Vs Deputy Civil Surgeon, Sirsa.
3447/2010	24.3.2011	1000/-	Sh. Subhash Chander Sharma Vs Director, School Education, Haryana
1125/2011	25.3.2011	1000/-	Ms. Vishu Phogat Vs City Magistrate, Sonapat.
1076/2011	29.3.2011	2000/-	Smt. Chandra VS Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad.
1310/2011	30.3.2011	500/-	Dr. M.C. Sharma Vs Education Department, Haryana
3291/2010	30.3.2011	1000/-	Dr. M.C. Sharma Vs Deputy District Education Officer, Mewat at Nuh.
1085/2010	30.3.2011	1000/-	Sh. Jagesh Singh Suhag Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Haryana

3233/2010	16.3.2011	1000/-	Sh. Zile Singh Hadda Vs Deputy Commissioner, Jhajjar.
34/2011 in 249/2010 in 1088/2010	28.3.2011	1500/-	Sh. R.S. Dalal Vs Director, Sports & Youth Affairs Department, Haryana, Panchkula.
1071/2011	1.4.2011	2000/-	Sh. Kuldeep Singh Vs Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad.
3497/2010	5.4.2011	2000/-	Sh. Rupesh Mittal Vs Block Development Panchayat Officer, Bahal, Bhiwani.
3275/2010	6.4.2011	500/-	Sh. Swaroop Singh Vs Deputy Commissioner of Police (HQ), Faridabad.
1723/2011	6.4.2011	5000/-	Sh. Manoj Kumar Karwasara Vs Chaudary Charan Singh Agriculture University, Hisar.
1720/2011	6.4.2011	5000/-	Sh. Sikandar Khan Vs Haryana Wakf Board, Ambala Cantt.
1096/2011	7.4.2011	1000/-	Sh. Kundan Lal Gupta Vs Urban Local Bodies Department, Haryana.
1473/2011	7.4.2011	5000/-	Sh. Ravinder Kumar Vs BPS Mahila Mahavidayala, Khanpur Kalan (Sonapat).
407/2010 in 1801/10	8.4.2011	1000/-	Sh. Balbir Singh Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula.
1166/2011	19.4.2011	650/-	Er. Naresh Bhardwaj Vs Deputy District Education Officer, Hisar.
1648/2011	19.4.2011	500/-	Sh. Om Parkash Vs Superintendent of Police, Narnaul (Mohindergarh).
1153/2011	19.4.2011	800/-	Sh. Jagannath Vs Urban Local Bodies Department, Haryana.
3265/2010	20.4.2011	1000/-	Sh. Anand Parkash Sharma Vs Deputy Commissioner, Rewari.
1817/2011	20.4.2011	1000/-	Sh. Amar Singh Vs Superintending Engineer, Public Work Department (B&R) Branch, Hisar.
153/2011	21.4.2011	2000/-	Sh. Dilbagh Singh Vs District Social Welfare Officer, Sonapat.
1169/2011	22.4.2011	1000/-	Sh. Sukhcharan Singh Vs Director, School Education, Haryana.

1116/2011	26.4.2011	2000/-	Sh. Om Parkash Vs Estate Officer-II, HUDA, Gurgaon.
1246/2011	28.4.2011	1000/-	Sh. Madan Lal Vs Urban Local Bodies Department, Haryana.
1066/2011	28.4.2011	1000/-	Sh. Rishi Kumar Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula.
1270/2011	29.4.2011	1000/-	Dr.M.C. Sharma Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Haryana.
1099/2011	3.5. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Kali Ram Naidu Vs Executive Engineer, HUDA, Div-1, Hisar.
1646/2011	3.5. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Manoj Kumar Vs City Magistrate, Hisar and Tehsildar, Hisar.
1037/2011	10.5. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Mukesh Kumar Vs Deputy Commissioner, Narnaul.
1359/2011	11.5. 2011	500/-	Sh. Karan Singh of Faridabad Vs Municipal Corporation. Faridabad.
1362/2011	11.5. 2011	2500/-	Sh. M.R. Arora Vs Additional Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Haryana
1487/2011	11.5. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Maya Chand Vs District Revenue Officer, Rohtak.
1979/2011	17.5. 2011	5000/-	Dr. M.C. Sharma Vs City Magistrate, Bhiwani.
1514/2011	18.5. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Tula Ram Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula.
3407/2010	18.5. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Jaswant Singh Vs Irrigation Department, Haryana, Panchkula.
1522/2011	18.5. 2011	520/-	Sh. Attar Singh Vs Urban Local Bodies Department, Haryana, Chandigarh
1510/2011	19.5. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Mool Chand Vs Urban Local Bodies Department, Haryana, Chandigarh.
3417/2010	19.5. 2011	2000/-	Dr. M.C. Sharma Vs Joint Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Hisar, Haryana.
1529/2011	24.5. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Narender Kaushik Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula.
1644/2011	25.5. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Madan Lal Saini Vs Forest Department, Haryana.
1639/2011	26.5. 2011	800/-	Mrs. Sunita Rani Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula.

65/2011	10.5.2011	5000/-	Sh. K.K. Verma Vs Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Narnaul at Mahendergarh
1030/2011	11.5.2011	5000/-	Sh. Lal Chand Vs SPIO O/o Deputy Commissioner. Palwal.
3466/2010	3.6.2011	5000/-	Sh. Yogesh Vs Director School Education, Panchkula.
1283/2011	7.6.2011	5000/-	Sh. Gurdev Singh of Panchkula Vs Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Pinjore, Panchkula.
1827/2011	8.6.2011	500/-	Sh. Karan Singh Vs Commissioner, Municipal Corporation. Faridabad.
1870/2011	9.6.2011	500/-	Sh. Rana Singh Vs Secretary, Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula.
1877/2011	14.6.2011	1000/-	Sh. Rajiv Vs Food & Supplies Department, Haryana, Chandigarh.
1352/2011	14.6.2011	2000/-	Sh. Balbir Singh Kadiyan Vs Registrar Co-op Societies, Panchkula.
3044A/2011	21.6.2011	2000/-	Sh. Mahavir Singh of KKR Vs Director School Education, Panchkula
175/2011	21.6.2011	1000/-	Sh. Deen Dayal Soni Vs Municipal Corporation Bhiwani
2214/2011	21.6.2011	2000/-	Sh. Satya Narain Vs District Social Welfare Officer, Sonapat.
2216/2011	21.6.2011	2000/-	Smt. Rekha Vs Director Wome and Child Department.
1897/2011	22.6.2011	600/-	Sh. Bijender Vs Secretary, Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula.
1902/2011	22.6.2011	500/-	Sh. Tilak Raj Vs Commissioner, Municipal Corporation., Hisar.
2201/2011	23.6.2011	2000/-	Sh. Mahavir Singh of Rohtak Vs Executive Engineer, Public Health, Rohtak
272/2011 in 450/2010	23.6.2011	2000/-	Sh. Bijender Kumar Jain of Y. Nagar Vs HUDA, Jagadhari, Yamuna Nagar.
1440/2011	24.6. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Ravinder Nath Bansal of Chandigarh Vs. Estate Officer-II, HUDA Gurgaon.
1435/2011	24.6.2011	1000/-	Sh. Surender of Bhiwani Vs Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Bhiwani

1989/2011	28.6.2011	1500/-	Sh. Dinesh Sharma Vs Director, Urban Local Bodies, Haryana, Chandigarh.
1209/2011	30.6.2011	800/-	Ms. Sunita Rani Vs Secretary, Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula.
1349/2011	1.7. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Birbal v/s Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Bhiwani
2566/2011	5.7. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Bhatat Singh v/s Deputy District Education Officer, Panipat
1915/2011	5.7. 2011	1500/-	Sh. Vijay Kumar Thakur v/s S.E, 'O.P' Circle Uttar Haryana Bijali Vitran Nigam, Karnal
2137/2011	5.7. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Sunil Prajapat Vs Inspector General of Police, Panchkula
72/2011	5.7. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Tikka Ram Vs Suprintendent of Police, Palwal
2556/2009	7.7. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Mohinder Pal Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Faridabad
1115/2011	7.7. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Shamsher Singh Vs Regional Transport Authority, Panipat
2302/2011	12.7. 2011	5000/-	Sh. Ratti Ram Vs Sub Divisinal Officer (Civil), Hathin.
1774/2011	13.7. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Dharambir Beniwal Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Hisar
1762/2011	14.7. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Jaswant Singh Vs Housing Board, Haryana, Gurgaon
2692/2011	15.7. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Anil Kumar Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Rewari

1294/2011	18.7. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Pawan Bishnoi v/s Superintendent (Confidential Branch), Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula
1238/2011	18.7. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Pawan Bishnoi v/s Superintendent (Confidential Branch), Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula
1792/2011	19.7. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Pawan Kumar v/s Cooperative Department, Haryana, Chandigarh
152/2011	19.7.2011	2000/-	Dr. Raj Pal Lamba Vs Ayush Department, Haryana, Panchkula
3244/2010	21.7. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Ramesh Kumar Bansal Vs Superintendent of Police, Vigilance Bureau, Ambala
133/2011	21.7.2011	5000/-	Sh. Tara Singh Vs Director, Consolidation, Haryana.
2151/2011	27.7. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Deena Dayal Soni v/s Executive Engineer, 'O.P' City, Division Dakshin Haryana Bijali Vitran Nigam Limited, Bhiwani
2347/2011	27.7.2011	5000/-	Sh. Manoj Kumar v/s Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agriculture University, Hisar
2704/2010 & 2699/2010	29.7. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Gobind Narain Kaushik Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Gurgaon
1709/2011	29.6. 2011	10000/-	Sh. Rameshwar Dass v/s District Revenue Officer, Gurgaon
1768/2011	29.6. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Bishan Swaroop v/s City Magistrate, Rewari
2305/2011	14.7.2011	5000/-	Sh. Gulab Singh Vs District Social Welfare Officer, Sonapat.
2379/2011	14.7.2011	2000/-	Sh. Hemant Saini Vs District Child Welfare Officer, Rewari.

2610/2011	27.7. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Maman Ram v/s Tehsildar, Charkhi Dadri
2121/2011	9.8. 2011	414/-	Sh. Ram Kumar Solanki v/s Assistant Registrar Cooperative Societies, Hisar
2929/2010	10.8. 2011	2000/-	Sikander Lal Raheja Vs Haryana Urban Development Authority, Gurgaon
2806/2011	10.8. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Shamsher Singh Vs. Director General Transport , Haryana Chandigarh
2120/2011	10.8. 2011	1000/-	Smt. Murti Devi Vs HUDA, Panchkula.
2971/2011	16.8. 2011	2000/-	Ms. Dimple Rani Vs. HUDA Kaithal
2279/2011	16.8. 2011	2000/-	Sh Parmod Bharti v/s Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula
1836/2011	16.8. 2011	500/-	Sh. Ramesh Sharma v/s Director School Education, Panchkula
1971/2011	17.8. 2011	5000/-	Sh. Ram Ashram v/s Civil Surgeon, Kurukshetra
180/2011	17.8. 2011	2000/-	Smt. Sumitra Devi v/s District Social Welfare officer, Rewari
1829/2011	18.8. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Ashok Kumar Prajapati Vs. Haryana Roadways, Hisar
2388/2010	18.8. 2011	2000/-	Suraj Parkash Kaushik Vs Transport Department
1954/2011	18.8.2011	1000/-	Sh. Madan Pal Sharma v/s City Magistrate, Ambala
2293/2011	23.8. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Manmohan Singh Vs HUDA, Rohtak.
1961/2011	23.8. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Rakesh v/s Public Health, Bhiwani.
2295/2011	23.8. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Shyam Lal v/s Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Palwal.
2290/2011	23.8. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Shyam Lal v/s Block Development and Panchayat Officer Palwal.
1889/2011	24.8. 2011	2000/-	Mrs. Mamta v/s Principal, Dayal Singh Public School, Karnal.

2117/2011	24.8. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Kishan Chand Vs HUDA, Gurgaon
2932/2010	24.8. 2011	3000/-	Sh. Gulshan Kumar Vs. HUDA Gurgaon
106/2011	25.8.2011	2000/-	Sh. Kanshi Ram Vs City Magistrate, Rewari.
2798/2011	25.8.2011	2000/-	Sh. Mahabir Singh Vs. Land Acquisition Officer, Faridabad.
2568/2011	25.8. 2011	5000/-	Smt. Seema Gupta v/s Director School Education, Panchkula
2966/2011	26.8. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Mange Ram Vs. Superintendent of Police. Hisar
1547/2011	29.8. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Hardan Singh Vs. General of Police Haryana Panchkula
308/2011	29.8. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Major R.K. Rana. Vs. HUDA, Ggn.
331/2011	29.8. 2011	1000/-	Sh. Deen Dayal soni Vs. Cum- Estate Officer HUDA Bhiwani
2179/2011	30.8. 2011	2000/-	Sh. Virender Singh S/o Sh. Madhi Vs. Deputy Commissioner of Police, Faridabad.
2394 & 2394-A/2011	6.9.2011	5000/-	Smt. Sarla Totla Vs Estate Officer-I, HUDA, Gurgaon.
3248/2011	6.9.2011	5000/-	Sh. Parma Nand v/s Tehsildar, Panchkula
1633/2011	7.9.2011	5000/-	Sh. Dalbir Singh Vs Assistant Soil Conservation Officer, Jhajjar.
2384/2011	8.9.2011	5000/-	Dr. Ranbir Kumar Khosla Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Faridabad
2990/2011	9.9.2011	2000/-	Sh. Subhash Khichar Vs Regional Transport Authority, Hisar.
2792/2011	12.9.2011	2000/-	Sh. Braham Dev Yadav Vs Estate Officer, HUDA, Rewari.
2528/2011	13.9.2011	1000/-	Sh. Sanjiv Kumar Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula
1628/2011 in 3378/2010	13.9.2011	2000/-	Sh. Deen Dayal Soni Vs Public Health Division-III, Bhiwani.
1181/2011	14.9.2011	5000/-	Major Mahabir Parsad Vs District Town Planner, Narnaul.

2099/2011	14.9.2011	5000/-	Sh. Prem Singh Rathi Vs Director Elementary Education Officer, Rohtak.
2106/2011	15.9.2011	5000/-	Sh. Kishore Singh Vs XEN, PHE Division No. 1, Sirsa.
3178/2011	19.9.2011	2000/-	Sh. Akshay Kumar Sharma Vs State Crime Record Bureau, Madhuban.
3139/2011	19.9.2011	1000/-	Sh. Harmeet Singh Vs Regional Transport Authority, Ambala.
600/2011 in 1862/2011	20.9.2011	5000/-	Sh. Mool Chand Goel, Gurgaon Vs District Town Planner, Haryana.
2107/2011	20.9.2011	2000/-	Sh. P.R. Gupta, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra
2096/2011	20.9.2011	5000/-	Sh. Satbir Singh v/s Superintending Engineer PWD(B&R), Bhiwani
2204/2010	21.9.2011	5000/-	Sh. Ashok Bhardwaj v/s District Revenue Officer, Sonapat
444/2011 in 2330/11	22.9.2011	2000/-	Sh. Pardeep Kumar Modi Vs Estate Officer-I, HUDA, Gurgaon.
1725/2011	22.9.2011	5000/-	Sh. Manish Kumar Vs Deputy Commissioner, Mahendergarh
606/2011 in 2796/11	29.9.2011	1000/-	Sh. Sukhdev Singh Vs Land Acquisition Officer, Panchkula.
2197/2011	29.9.2011	5000/-	Capt. Ashok Kumar Rangi Vs Dy. Director, Animal Husbandry & Dairying Deptt, Panipat, Hry.
2621/2011	29.9.2011	500/-	Sh. Krishan Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula
2701/2011	30.9.2011	1100/-	Sh. Pardeep Malik Vs Cooperative Societies, Safidon.
2195/2011	30.9.2011	2000/-	Sh. Rattan Singh Vs Superintending Engineer, Public Work Department (B&R), Jhajjar.
347/2011	3.10.2011	2000/-	Sh. Parveen Kumar v/s Land Acquisition Officer, Rohtak.
1421/2011	4.10.2011	5000/-	Sh. Gajraj Singh Vs. HUDA Gurgaon

3702/2011	4.10.2011	5000/-	Sh. B.M. Kukreti v/s Estate Officer-II, HUDA, Gurgaon
3528/2011	4.10.2011	2000/-	Sh. Krishan Kmuar Goswami Vs HUDA, Gurgaon in 3528/11
3707/2011	4.10.2011	2000/-	Sh. Aseem Jafar v/s Estate Officer-II, HUDA, Gurgaon
1421/2011	4.10.2011	5000/-	Sh. Gajraj Singh v/s Estate Officer-I, HUDA, Gurgaon.
2781-A	5.10.2011	2000/-	Sh. Satya Narain v/s Estate Officer, Bahadurgarh
3529/2011	10.10.2011	3000/-	Sh. Om Parkash Madan v/s Estate Officer, HUDA, Hisar.
3546/2011	10.10.2011	5000/-	Sh. Krishan Kumar Goswami v/s Estate Officer-II, HUDA, Gurgaon
3113/2011	10.10.2011	5000/-	Sh. Jagdish Chander v/s Estate Officer, Hisar
1194/2011	11.10.2011	1000/-	Sh. Virender Singh v/s State Transport Deptt. Haryana, Chandigarh
1721/2011	17.10.2011	1000/-	Sh. Padma Aggarwal v/s Land Acquisition Officer, Panchkula
1795/2011	17.10.2011	2000/-	Sh. Sucha Singh vs Land Acquisition Officer, Panchkula
2918/2011	19.10.2011	5000/-	Sh. Dalbir Singh Vs District Social Welfare Officer, Bhiwani.
2749/2011	20.10.2011	1000/-	Sh. A.K. Aggarwal v/s Municipal Corporation Ambala
3311/2011	21.10.2011	2000/-	Sh. Kuldeep Singh v/s Estate Officer, Gurgaon
3688/2010 in 2614/2011	5.10.2010	10000/-	Sh. Kewal Krishan Khanna Vs SVB, Panchkula.
3293/2011	20.10.2010	5000/-	Sh. Surender Singh Vs Tehsildar, Charkhi Dadri
2775/2011	2.11.2011	2000/-	Sh. Ved Parkash Vs Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Tosham, District Bhiwani.
2646/2011	3.11.2011	5000/-	Lt. Gen. (Retd.) Vinay Shankar Vs Director General Town and Country Planning.

2645/2011	3.11.2011	1000/-	Sh. Vinod Kumar Vs Haryana Roadways, Rohtak
3309/2011	4.11.2011	500/-	Sh. Surender Kumar Alias Salim Khan Vs Director General Town and Country Planning
1482/2011	8.11.2011	1000/-	Sh. Satyanarain Vs State Vigilance Bureau, Haryana
2630/2011	8.11.2011	1000/-	Sh. Bhim Singh Vs Land Acquisition Officer, Faridabad.
4041/2011	14.11.2011	2000/-	Sh. Bijender Kumar Vs HUDA, Bahadurgarh.
2642/2011	14.11.2011	3000/-	Sh. K.C. Malhotra Vs HUDA, Faridabad.
2386/2011	14.11.2011	5000/-	Dr. Yash Yadav Vs HUDA, Gurgaon.
4039/2011	14.11.2011	2000/-	Sh. Vikas Kumar Gupta Vs HUDA, Faridabad.
484/2011 in 1420/2011	14.11.2011	5000/-	Smt. Rattan Yadav Vs HUDA, Gurgaon.
2479/2011	14.11.2011	1000/-	Sh. Vikas Mishra Vs HUDA, Faridabad
3959/2011	14.11.2011	1000/-	Sh. S.P. Manchanda Vs HUDA, Gurgaon.
247520/11	14.11.2011	1000/-	Sh. Vikas Mishra Vs HUDA, Faridabad.
2478/2011	14.11.2011	1000/-	Sh. Vikas Mishra Vs HUDA, Fariadabad.
515/2010 in 456/2010 in 188/2010	15.11.2011	2000/-	Sh. Deen Dayal Soni Vs Executive Officer, Municipal Corporation . Bhiwani.
4163/2011	15.11.2011	1000/-	Sh. Vimal Jaitely Vs HUDA, Gurgaon
478/2011 in 2581/2010	16.11.2011	500/-	Sh. Om Parkash Vs District Education Officer, Bhiwani.
3224/2011	16.11.2011	1000/-	Sh. Deen Dayal Soni Vs HUDA, Bhiwani

4027/2011	16.11.2011	2000/-	Sh. Bhalke Ram Yadav Vs HUDA, Hisar.
389/2011	17.11.2011	1000/-	Sh. Sohan Lal Dhiman Vs Land Acquisition Officer, Panchkula
564/2011 in 1763/2011	17.11.2011	1000/-	Sh. Jai Parkash Vs Transport Department, Hisar.
4036/2011	25.11.2011	1000/-	Sh. Satya Narain Vs HUDA, Hisar
865/2011 in 1194/2011	25.11.2011	1000/-	Sh. Virender Singh Malik Vs State Transport, Haryana, Chandigarh
399/2011 in 1101/11	29.11.2011	1000/-	Sh. O.P. Sharma Vs HUDA, Sonapat
3539/2011	29.11.2011	1000/-	Sh. Rameshwar Dayal Gautam Vs HUDA, Sonapat
2666/2011	30.11.2011	5000/-	Sh. Rajender Vs Sub Divisional Officer (C), Jind.
2145/2011	2.12.2011	1000/-	Sh. Budh Ram Vs Dakshin Haryana Bijali Vitran Nigam Limited, Gurgaon
4164/2011	5.12.2011	1000/-	Sh. Gulshan Vohra Vs Director General Town and Country Planning, Haryana, Chandigarh.
828/2011 in 2646/2011	5.12.2011	5000/-	Lt. Gen. (Retd.) Vinay Shankar Vs District Town Planner (HQ) O/o Director General Town and Country Planning, Haryana, Chandigarh
4160/2011	6.12.2011	1000/-	Sh. Satish Kumar & Balbir Singh Vs Inspector General of Police (Personnel), Sector 6, Panchkula
3137/2011	7.12.2011	2000/-	Mrs. Kamla Devi Vs Irrigation Department, Haryana.
2489/2011	16.12.2011	2000/-	Sh. Raghubir Singh Vs Excise & Taxation Minister, Haryana, Panchkula
2515/2011	16.12.2011	2000/-	Sh. Raghubir Singh Vs Excise & Taxation Minister, Haryana, HCS, Chandigarh
4100/2011	16.12.2011	2000/-	Sh. Ravinder Nath Bansal Vs HUDA, Gurgaon

4356/2011	16.12.2011	10000/-	Smt. Sangeeta Haldia Vs HUDA, Gurgaon
2515/2011	16.12.2011	2000/-	Sh. Raghbir Singh Vs Excise & Taxation Minister, Haryana
3187/2011	21.12.2011	1000/-	Sh. L.C. Chandna Vs Municipal Corporation. Rewari
1357/2011	23.12.2011	500/-	Sh. Ram Mehar Sharma Vs Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Haryana, Panchkula
3192/2011	27.12.2011	1200/-	Sh. B.R. Dalal Vs Irrigation Department, Haryana.